

RECEIVED

MAR 10 2008

UTAH DIVISION OF
SOLID & HAZARDOUS WASTE

08.00959

**APPLICATION FOR
RENEWAL OF PERMIT # 9712
TO OPERATE A CLASS IVb
MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE FACILITY**

at the
FILLMORE LANDFILL
Millard County, Utah

Prepared by:
Sheryl L. Dekker
Landfill Operations Director

Millard County
71 South 200 West
Delta, Utah 84624
(435) 864-1400

August 2007

Appendices Prepared by
Vector Engineering, Inc.
1601 Fairview Avenue, Suite H
Carson City, Nevada 89701
(702) 883-7065
Project No. 945013.02
August 1997

RECEIVED

MAR 10 2008

Table of Contents UTAH DIVISION OF
SOLID & HAZARDOUS WASTE
08.00959

Page

PART I - GENERAL DATA 1

 Executive Summary 1

 General Data 2-3

PART II - GENERAL REPORT 4

1.0 FACILITY INFORMATION 4

 1.1 Owner and Operator Information 4

 1.2 Site Location 5

 1.3 Land Use and Zoning 5

 1.4 Facility Description 6

 1.5 Waste Stream Characteristics 6

 1.6 County Recorder 7

2.0 PLAN OF OPERATION 8

 2.1 Construction Schedule 8

 2.2 Hours of Operation 10

 2.3 Site Personnel and Equipment 10

 2.4 Access Control 11

 2.5 Waste Handling Procedures 11

 2.5.1 Construction and Demolition Debris 11

 2.5.2 Yard Waste 12

 2.5.3 Dead Animals 12

 2.5.4 Tires 12

 2.6 Prohibited Waste Exclusion Plan 12

 2.6.1 Random Inspection of Incoming Loads 13

 2.6.2 Record of Inspections 14

 2.6.3 Training of Facility Personnel 14

 2.6.4 Procedure of Handling Hazardous and PCB Waste 15

 2.6.5 Notification of the Solid Waste Management Authority 16

 2.7 Environmental Monitoring Systems 16

 2.8 Nuisance Control 16

 2.8.1 Vector Control Program 17

 2.8.2 Erosion and Dust Control 18

 2.8.3 Litter Control Program 18

 2.8.4 Scavenging / Salvaging 18

 2.9 Site Inspections 19

 2.10 Contingency Plans 19

 2.10.1 Equipment Breakdown 20

 2.10.2 Fire or Explosion 20

 2.10.3 Environmental Releases 20

Table of Contents (cont.)

	<u>Page</u>
2.10.4 Facility Shutdown	21
2.11 Personnel Training	21
2.12 Record keeping	21
PART III - TECHNICAL REPORT	23
3.0 ENGINEERING REPORT	23
3.1 Maps, Drawings, and Specifications	23
3.2 Design and Location of Run-Off and Run-On Control System	23
3.3 Closure and Post-Closure Design, Construction, and Maintenance	25
3.4 Facility Zoning Status	26
3.5 Relationship to Solid Waste Management Plan	26
3.6 Compliance with R315-305 Facility Zoning Status	26
4.0 CLOSURE PLAN	27
4.1 Closure Construction	28
4.2 Closure Schedule	29
4.3 Site Capacity	29
4.4 Final Inspection	30
4.5 Closure Construction Cost Estimate	31
5.0 POST-CLOSURE PLAN	32
5.1 Monitoring of Environmental Systems	32
5.2 Maintenance Activities	32
5.3 Post-Closure Schedule	33
5.4 Record Modifications	33
5.5 Post-Closure Cost Estimate	33
6.0 FINANCIAL ASSURANCE	34
7.0 REFERENCES	34

LIST OF TABLES

	<u>Page</u>
TABLE 4.1: Cost Estimate for Closure Construction	31
TABLE 5.1: Cost Estimate for Annual Post-Closure Care	34

LIST OF APPENDICES

	<u>Page</u>
APPENDIX 1: Conceptual Design Drawings	attached
APPENDIX 2: Landfill Property Lease Agreement	attached
APPENDIX 3: Loading Rate Calculations	attached
APPENDIX 4: Record keeping and Inspection Forms	attached
APPENDIX 5: Drainage Design Calculations	attached
Summary of Results	attached
Figures and Charts	attached
TR55 Results - Final Site Conditions	attached
FlowMaster - Final Site Conditions	attached
APPENDIX 6: Training Forms	
Notification of In Service Training (6.1)	attached
Millard County Landfill Training Roll (6.2)	attached

LIST OF PLATES

	<u>Page</u>
PLATE 1: U.S.G.S. Fillmore, Utah 7.5 Minute Quadrangle Map	attached

PART I - GENERAL DATA

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Fillmore Class IVb Landfill is located approximately .7 miles east of Highway 91, and northeast of Fillmore (550 North 500 East, Fillmore) in Millard County and serves the residents and businesses of east Millard County. The landfill is an existing Class IVb facility which must be in regulatory compliance with the Utah Solid Waste Permitting and Management Regulations (Utah Administrative Code R315-301-320) prior to April 1, 1995. Based on the information presented in this renewal application, Millard County requests that renewal of the Permit to Operate a Class IVb Landfill be granted by the Utah Department of Environmental Quality for the continued operation of the Fillmore Landfill.

Please refer to Millard County's application for renewal of a Permit to Operate a Class IVb municipal solid waste disposal facility at the Fillmore Landfill, which consists of a Plan of Operation, Closure and Post-Closure Plans, a Geohydrological Assessment, and Engineering Report. The application was prepared in accordance with R315-310 of the Utah Administrative Code, and the outline contained in the Application for a Permit to Operate a Class IVb Landfill provided by the Utah Department of Environmental Quality.

UTAH DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

DIVISION OF SOLID AND HAZARDOUS WASTE

APPLICATION FOR A PERMIT RENEWAL TO OPERATE A CLASS IVb LANDFILL

1. **Name of Facility:** Fillmore Class IVb Landfill

2. **Site Location:** S ½ of the S ½ , of the Southeast 1/4 of Section 16,
Township 21 South, Range 4 West, Salt Lake Base and
Meridian, Millard County, Utah. (Lat. 38° 58' 45" N, Long.
112° 18' 12" W)

3. **Facility Owner:** Millard County

4. **Contact Person:** Sheryl L Dekker
Landfill Operations Director

Phil Lovell
Landfill Facility Supervisor

71 South 200 West
Delta, Utah 84624
Phone: (435) 864-1400
Fax: (435) 864-1404
e-mail: sdekker@co.millard.ut.us

5. **Type of Facility:**

<input type="checkbox"/> Class I Landfill	<input type="checkbox"/> Initial Application
<input type="checkbox"/> Class V Landfill	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Permit Renewal
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Class IV Landfill	Original Permit Number 9712

6. **Property Ownership:**

 Fillmore City

7. **Certification of Submitted Information:**


Official: Daron P. Smith
Title: Chairman, Millard County Commission

I certify, under penalty of law, that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Signature: Daron P Smith Date: 8-21-07
Daron P. Smith, Commission Chair

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 21 day of August, 2007.

Janice Robins
My Commission Expires 5-2-2008



PART II - GENERAL REPORT

1.01_ FACILITY INFORMATION

The Fillmore Class IVb Landfill is an existing solid waste disposal facility, located in Millard County, Utah. The landfill currently serves the towns of Fillmore, Meadow, Kanosh, and Flowell, and accepts construction demolition waste, yard waste, inert waste, and dead animals. This *Application for Renewal of a Permit to Operate a Class IV Disposal Facility* at the Fillmore Landfill has been prepared in accordance with applicable State of Utah solid waste regulations. This section will provide information regarding ownership, facility description and location, land use and zoning, waste stream characteristics, and facility administration. Figures depicting existing and planned site facilities are enclosed in Appendix 1 of this document.

1.1 Owner and Operator Information

The property on which the Fillmore Landfill is located is owned by the City of Fillmore, Utah. Millard County assumed daily operations of the facility in 1986 under an agreement with the City of Fillmore. Millard County leases the landfill property from the City of Fillmore; a copy of the lease agreement is included in Appendix 2 of this application. The name, address, and telephone number of the contact person at the City of Fillmore regarding site ownership is as follows:

Mayor David L. Christensen
Fillmore City
75 West Center
PO Box 687
Fillmore, Utah 84631
Phone (435) 743-5233
Fax (435) 743-5195
e-mail: tracy@fillmorecity.org

Daily operations of the landfill are performed under the supervision of Phil Lovell, Landfill Facility Supervisor. Sheryl L. Dekker, Assistant to the County Board of Commissioners, is responsible for the administration of landfill operations. Mrs. Dekker can be reached at the Millard County Offices with the following address and telephone number:

Sheryl L. Dekker
Millard County Offices
71 South 200 West
PO Box 854
Delta, Utah 84624
Phone: (435) 864-1405
Fax: (435) 864-1404
e-mail: sdekker@co.millard.ut.ut

1.2 Site Location

The Fillmore Class IVb Landfill is located in the northeast sector of the City of Fillmore, approximately 0.7 miles east of Highway 91, in Millard County, Utah. The facility is located on 40 acres of land described in the public land survey as the S ½, S ½, SE 1/4, sec. 16, T21S, R4W, SLB&M. Entrance to the site is approximately located at latitude 38°58'45"N and longitude 112°18'12"W. The location of the City of Fillmore in relation to state and county borders is presented on Figure 1, Site Vicinity Map, included in Appendix 1. Figure 2, Site Location Map, delineates site property boundaries and facility location with respect to the City of Fillmore.

Pursuant to the provisions set forth in section §315-305-2 of the Utah Administrative Code (UAC), a Class IV landfill facility is not subject to the general location standards established for landfills as provided in section R315-302-1 of the UAC.

1.3 Land Use and Zoning

The landfill site is within County zoning boundaries designated as RF, Range and Forest. Use of the site for land filling purposes is consistent with the RF zoning classification. Surrounding land use is primarily for agricultural and open range purposes. The current Zoning Ordinance was adopted during the 2002 calendar year. Land within one-half mile of facility

property boundaries to the north, south, east and west is also designated with the RF zoning classification. Land surrounding the site has been designated RF, Range and Forest. Zoning boundaries and classifications within three miles of the facility property boundaries are delineated in Figure 3, Fillmore Zoning Map, enclosed in Appendix 1.

1.4 Facility Description

The Fillmore Class IVb Landfill accepts construction and demolition waste for disposal along with wood and yard waste. In addition, scrap metals, are accepted and stockpiled adjacent to the site access road for salvage operations. Stockpiles and other existing site features, including topography, are identified on the enclosed Figure 4 (Appendix 1), Existing Facilities Plan. Discussion related to facility waste characteristics and disposal practices are presented in the enclosed Plan of Operation.

Waste placement at the landfill currently takes place at the westernmost portion of the site. Waste has historically been placed within the topographic boundaries of a northwester-trending ravine that crosses the western quarter of the site. A flat, elevated pad extending from the main road has been built up over time; waste accepted for disposal is compacted on the west-facing slope, resulting in a fill surface that progresses westward (Figure 4, Appendix 1). Soil cover is obtained from an on-site borrow source located east of the main access road. An upper access road provides a separate site entrance and access to the dead animal pit. This upper access road will provide site access for future disposal areas once facility operations are completed in the western portion of the site and are relocated to the east.

1.5 Waste Stream Characteristics

The Fillmore Class IVb Landfill provides waste disposal capacity for residents from the towns of Fillmore, Meadow, Kanosh, and Flowell. Pursuant to the 2000 census the approximate population of Fillmore, Meadow, Kanosh, Flowell and the surrounding area is 3,190 people. Population growth projections developed by the State of Utah estimate that the service area did

not experience any growth between 1992 and 1997, but would undergo an annual average growth rate of approximately 0.8 percent between 1997 and 2012.

Disposal rate estimates made at the *Millard County Solid Waste Management Plan* (Stansbury, 1993) predicted that the facility would receive approximately 480 tons per year. The current average tonnage received at the Fillmore Landfill is 900 tons per year. Reports indicate that the site is receiving an average 2.47 tons per day.

The Fillmore Landfill will remain a Class IVb facility and will be operated in compliance with the regulations for a Class IVb waste landfill facility, as described in the enclosed Plan of Operation. Waste accepted for disposal at the site is comprised solely of inert waste, construction and demolition debris, wood and yard waste, and dead animals. Metals are also accepted at the site and temporarily stockpiled until arrangements for removal are made with a salvage contractor. Excluded wastes include, but are not limited to, household and commercial wastes, industrial wastes, liquids, sewage sludge, hazardous materials, contaminated soils, grease, waste tires, and waste oils.

The volume of waste delivered by each incoming waste load is estimated by the site attendant prior to disposal. Although the incoming waste volume averages approximately eight cubic yards per day, much of the waste stream is comprised of wood and yard waste, white goods, tires, dead animals, or other wastes that are either stockpiled for future recovery, removal, or other handling. As a result, it is estimated that approximately four cubic yards of waste is disposed in the working face on an average day.

1.6 County Recorder

In accordance with UAC R315-302-2(6), the location and nature of the landfill site will be recorded as part of the record of title with the County Recorder no later than 60 days after certification of closure. If required by local authorities, records may also include information regarding the dates of landfill operation, the amount of solid waste disposed at the site, and the location of disposal areas.

2.0 PLAN OF OPERATION

Millard County is submitting the following Plan of Operation for a Class IVb solid waste disposal facility at the Fillmore Landfill, as required by the general provisions for solid waste disposal defined in UAC R315-310-3 and R315-302-2(2). This Plan of Operation presents the general procedures for handling of various types of wastes which are either prohibited or accepted for disposal at the facility; the intended schedule of landfill construction, inspections and maintenance; fire control and contingency plans in the event of a fire or explosion; litter prevention; procedures for controlling disease vectors; general training and safety plan for site personnel; and, other information pertaining to the operation and maintenance of the facility. Information related to closure construction, post-closure maintenance care, and financial assurance is presented in Sections 4.0, 5.0 and 6.0, respectively, of this permit application.

2.1 Construction Schedule

The Fillmore Landfill utilizes the area fill method for solid waste disposal. As discussed in Section 1.4, above, waste placement at the landfill currently takes place at the westernmost portion of the site. Waste has historically been placed within the topographic boundaries of a northwest-trending ravine that crosses the western quarter of the site. A flat, elevated pad extending from the main road has been built up over time; waste accepted for disposal is compacted on the west-facing slope, resulting in a fill that progresses westward. Soil cover is obtained from an on-site borrow source located to the east of the main access road. An upper access road provides a separate site entrance and access to the dead animal disposal pit. Existing site condition, including the location of in-place waste and temporary stockpiles, is presented on Figure 4, Existing Facilities Plan.

Once the current lift reaches its western limits, waste placement will commence against the eastern slope of the existing soil borrow area. Fill will then progress to the west and north with a 10-foot high lift over the top deck of the existing waste disposal area. Daily cover will be obtained by expanding the soil borrow area north of the existing pit. Following completion of the lift, another lift will then be constructed against the eastern slopes of the cell and progress in

the same fashion as the previous until final grades are reached. The proposed final grades are presented on Figure 5, Final Grading Plan (Appendix 1). The maintenance bench, access road, and drainage facilities will be constructed during the operational life of the facility as the appropriate grades are reached during fill progression.

When waste fill in the western portion of the site reaches the crest defined by the eastern fence line and upper access road, waste placement will then progress toward the east and north. Site operations will continue utilizing the area fill method of disposal. The existing upper access road will provide site access for future disposal areas once facility operations are completed in the western portion of the site and are relocated to the east. The eastern portion of the site will be completed in the same manner as that in the western portion, with a series of 10-foot high lifts that progress from the southwest toward the northeast. The low ridge located at the northeastern corner of the site will be excavated over time to provide additional disposal capacity and a source for cover soil. The limits of excavation are identified on Figure 4, Existing Facilities Plan, and Figure 6, Cross Section A-A' (Appendix 1).

Given the current waste disposal rate and growth projections discussed in Section 1.5 of this document, and assuming a waste-to-soil cover ratio of approximately 10:1, the remaining site life and construction schedule of individual disposal lifts can be calculated. The projected remaining volume in the current lift is approximately 27,000 cubic yard, which will require approximately 7 years to complete. Therefore, construction of the 10-foot lift over the current disposal area is expected to commence sometime in the year 2010. With an estimated 185,000 cubic yards available for disposal, the subsequent lift extending from the eastern edge of the existing soil borrow pit west over the top deck of the existing waste disposal area is expected to continue receiving waste until the year 2046. A table presenting the loading rate calculations is included in Appendix 3.

2.2 Hours of Operation

The winter hours for the Landfill have been Thursday, Friday and Saturday -

8:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. and 1:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. beginning when daylight-saving time ends until daylight-saving time resumes in the spring of each year.

The summer hours for the Landfill have traditionally been Thursday, Friday and Saturday - 9:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. and 2:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. each Thursday, Friday and Saturday.

The Landfill will be closed on all major holidays, including Christmas, New Year's Day, Thanksgiving and the day after, President's Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, Veteran's Day, Memorial Day, and Civil Rights Day. Signs will be posted at the entrance to the facility and will convey the hours of operation, owner and operator of the site, materials accepted and excluded, and fees charged.

2.3 Site Personnel and Equipment

UAC R305-303-5(2) requires at least one person on-site for landfills with a permitted capacity of less than 15,000 tons per year. The Fillmore Landfill will have one site attendant present during all hours of operation and one equipment operator, who will be on-site once a week, or as needed. The Landfill Facility Supervisor will periodically visit the site and will be available for consultation during operating hours. The Landfill Facility Supervisor is Phil Lovell, Supervisor of the Millard County Landfill. In the event of an emergency, Mr. Lovell should be notified. He can be reached at the following address and telephone numbers:

Millard County Class I Landfill
5784 East 2160 South
Delta, Utah 84624

Landfill: (435) 864-2297
Cell: (435) 979-0851
Home: (435) 857-2309

If Mr. Lovell is unavailable or if a situation requires further attention, the Sheriff's Office or the Fillmore Volunteer Fire Department should be notified by calling 911. Equipment currently utilized at the Fillmore Solid Waste Landfill includes a track dozer and a backhoe. If additional equipment is needed, it can be obtained from the Millard County Road Department or transported from the Millard County Landfill located in Delta, Utah.

2.4 Access Control

Pursuant to UAC R315-303-4(6a), and owner or operator shall provide fencing at the property or unit boundary, or use other artificial or natural barriers to impede unauthorized entry by the public and large animals. There is currently a lockable gate at the entry to the landfill. The landfill gate will be locked during all non-operating hours. An attendant will be on duty at the landfill during all operating hours. A perimeter fence has been installed along the full boundary of the disposal area, as delineated on the enclosed plan set (Appendix 1, Figure 2).

2.5 Waste Handling Procedures

Pursuant to UAC R315-302-2, the Plan of Operation provides for a description of on-site solid waste handling procedures during the active life of the facility. The waste accepted at the Fillmore Landfill is comprised of inert waste, construction and demolition debris, yard waste, and dead animals in accordance with the requirements of Section 26-32a-103.5 and Subsection R315-320-3(9). Excluded wastes include, but are not limited to, industrial wastes, liquids, sewage sludge, hazardous materials, household and commercial wastes, contaminated soils, grease, and waste oils.

The quantity of incoming waste is visually estimated and recorded by landfill personnel stationed at the facility entrance. Daily logs of all incoming waste are maintained and will be entered into the operating record.

2.5.1 Construction and Demolition Debris

Construction and demolition (C&D) debris must be nonhazardous in order to be accepted; C&D debris includes items such as brick, rock, concrete, asphalt, and wall board. All C&D debris is deposited at the active disposal face and periodically compacted, separated, and covered with soil to prevent uncontrolled fires, rodent or vector harborage, and differential settlement.

2.5.2 *Yard Waste*

Yard waste is a general term used to refer to vegetative wastes, which may include cuttings from trees and brush, grass clippings, straw and hay, and waste from seasonal or special events. Grass clippings, leaves and similar wastes are disposed of with construction and demolition debris. Open burning of stockpiled tree limbs and woody yard waste will take place twice per year in accordance with Air Quality Rules Subsection R307-1-2.4((B)(5)). Prior to any open burning, Millard County Solid Waste Department will obtain a permit from the Fillmore City Fire Department (Millard County Fire District) and the Millard County Sheriff's Office.

2.5.3 *Dead Animals*

Dead animals are deposited in a separate disposal area away from the active disposal face (Figure 4, Appendix 1). Dead animals are covered at the end of the operating day received with a minimum of six inches of soil. The location of the dead animal disposal trench is indicated at the landfill by a directional sign.

2.5.4 *Tires*

No tires are accepted at the Fillmore Class IVb Landfill effective March 10, 2003. .

2.6 *Prohibited Waste Exclusion Program*

Wastes which are prohibited from disposal at the Fillmore Landfill include, but are not limited to, industrial wastes, liquids, sewage sludge, hazardous materials, household and commercial wastes, contaminated soils, grease trappings, and waste oils. Pursuant to UAC R315-303-5(7), and owner or operator shall not knowingly dispose, treat, store, otherwise handle hazardous waste or waste containing polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB). An owner or operator of a landfill shall include and implement as part of the plan of operation a plan that will inspect loads or take other steps, as approved by the Executive Secretary, that will prevent the disposal of hazardous waste or waste containing PCB's. These procedures include random load inspections,

records of maintenance, training of facility personnel, handling procedures for hazardous and PCB wastes, and notification of the solid waste management authority.

2.6.1 Random Inspection of Incoming Loads

Each incoming load will be visually inspected by the landfill attendant to identify the nature of the waste intended for disposal and to estimate the total volume of the load. Incoming loads will be randomly selected for a more detailed inspection by the landfill attendant who will be trained and qualified to identify regulated hazardous waste or PCB waste. Selected loads will be discharged near the active disposal area, spread with a dozer, and inspected for free liquids and hazardous or PCB wastes. Loads which are suspected of containing a high liquid content will be tested on-site by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Method 9095, paint filter test. All on-site employees know how to conduct the paint filter test. Any loads failing the test will be rejected. When a load is suspected of containing unacceptable materials, a load inspection and decision determining whether the suspect material can be accepted for disposal may be made according to the following procedure:

- the waste will be unloaded in an area near, but not immediately adjacent to, the active face;
- the hauler will be required to wait until the content of the load is verified;
- the waste will be carefully spread for observation using a dozer or front end loader;
- containers with contents that are not easily identifiable, such as unmarked 55-gallon drums, will be separated if a visual inspection determines that movement will not cause the drum to rupture, and will be opened and inspected only by properly trained personnel, and;
- if the waste is determined to be acceptable, it may be transferred to the working face for disposal.

Tests for characteristics of hazardous wastes typically include TCLP (Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (US EPA) and tests for corrosiveness, flammability, and reactivity. Until proven otherwise, wastes that are suspected of being hazardous are handled and stored as a hazardous waste. If waste temporarily stored at the site is determined to be hazardous, and the origin of the waste is unknown, the operator will immediately contact the

Fillmore Volunteer Fire Department, which will be responsible for the proper management of the waste. If the hazardous waste is to be transported from the facility, it must be stored at the landfill in accordance with requirements of a hazardous waste generator, manifested, transported by a licensed transporter, and disposed of at a permitted treatment, storage, or disposal (TSD) facility.

2.6.2 Records of Inspection

Records of random load inspections will be maintained in the facility operating records and made available to UDEQ upon request. The "Record of Random Inspection" form, contained in Appendix 4, will be used to record information obtained during each inspection. Inspection records will include, but are not limited to the date and time waste loads were received and inspected, source or generator of the wastes, vehicle and driver identification, observations made by the inspector, description of rejected loads, and rationale for rejection.

2.6.3 Training of Facility Personnel

All facility personnel will be trained in the identification of containers and labels typically used for hazardous and PCB wastes. Training for hazardous material screening procedure will address hazardous waste handling, safety precautions, and record keeping requirements. Documentation of personnel training will be included with the operation records for the facility.

Safety/Training meetings are held on a monthly basis and solid waste inspectors attend SWANA (Solid Waste Association of North America) training courses as offered through the state organization.

2.6.4 Procedures for Handling Hazardous and PCB Waste

If primary load inspections reveal the presence of regulated quantities of hazardous or PCB wastes on incoming haul vehicles, the landfill attendant will refuse to accept the load and UDEQ will be notified. If regulated quantities of hazardous or PCB wastes are identified during secondary load checks, random inspections, or at any other time, and cannot be traced to the original hauler, the Fillmore Volunteer Fire Department will be notified. The Fillmore Volunteer Fire Department, acting as the first responder for hazardous materials, will implement their Hazardous Materials Response Plan. Any subsequent activities related to the waste load, including transportation, storage, and containment will be managed by the Fillmore Volunteer Fire Department. Landfill personnel will participate in these activities only as directed by the fire department. Following notification, it will be the responsibility of the fire department to ensure that the hazardous materials are handled, stored, or transported in accordance with applicable federal and state regulations.

In the event that PCB wastes are identified on-site, the Fillmore Volunteer Fire Department or Millard County Regional Landfill personnel will temporarily store and ensure disposal of the waste as required by 40 CFR Part 761, while completing the following activities:

- an EPA PCB identification number will be obtained;
- the PCB waste will be properly stored until transport;
- the containers will be properly marked with the words "Caution: Contains PCB's," and;
- the container will be manifested for shipment to a permitted disposal facility

If waste is transported off-site by a hazardous waste disposal company, a provisional or one-time U.S. EPA identification number will be obtained, the waste will be packaged according to applicable Department of Transportation regulations, and the container will be properly transported and manifested to its point of destination. Proper chain of custody and manifest documents will be obtained from the hazardous materials disposal facility in order to maintain compliance with all applicable federal and state regulations.

2.6.5 Notification of the Solid Waste Management Authority

The landfill operator will notify UDEQ within 24 hours if suspected hazardous materials or PCB wastes are discovered at the landfill. A record of notification will be submitted to UDEQ which identifies the date and time of discovery, type of material (if possible without analytical testing), probable hauler, an estimate of the quantity of material, and actions proposed for the removal of the material from the area of discovery. The record of notification will be entered into the operating record maintained at the facility.

2.7 Environmental Monitoring Systems

UAC R315-303-4 and R315-305-2 state that owners or operators of a Class IV solid waste landfill must design, construct, and maintain run-on and run-off structures to control the peak discharge from a 24-hour, 25-year storm event. Drainage areas and run-off patterns at the site through final closure, details of the structures used for run-on and run-off control, and engineering design calculations used to determine flow volume and appropriate sizes of drainage structures are contained in the Engineering Report for the Fillmore Landfill. The report is included in this *Application for Renewal of a Permit to Operate* the site, and is maintained in the operating record for the landfill.

Construction of the surface water control devices described in the Engineering Report, in conjunction with dry waste management practices, daily cover, and compaction of solid wastes, and the application of daily and interim cover, increases the protection of waters of the State from a discharge of pollutants or contaminants during landfill operation. The surface water control devices and operational practices will be modified if it is determined that discharges from the site contain pollutants or contaminants which may degrade waters of the State.

2.8 Nuisance Control

The Fillmore Landfill will be operated in a manner which does not create odors, unsightliness, or other nuisances. The working face will be kept as narrow as possible while

remaining consistent with safe and efficient equipment operation. Bulky waste material will not be used for the final surface of side slopes. During construction of each disposal cell, waste will be spread into layers not exceeding two feet in thickness, and compacted (if possible) with appropriately-sized equipment. The equipment will make a minimum of two passes over each waste layer.

Pursuant to UAC R315-303-5(4), a minimum of six inches of compacted earthen material will be used to cover the solid waste at a frequency which is sufficient to prevent the uncontrolled migration of fires. However, six inches of cover material will be spread over the exposed waste surface no less than once per week. If necessary, waste will be covered more frequently to control disease vectors, fires, odors, and litter. If a fill surface other than a final fill surface is not expected to receive waste for a period of time in excess of 90 days, an intermediate cover will be placed on that surface. Intermediate cover will be a layer of native soil with a minimum thickness of 12 inches. The integrity of daily and intermediate cover will be maintained until filling is resumed or final cover is constructed. Routine visual inspections of the cover material will be made, and all erosion surfaces, cracks, and depressions will be repaired as soon as is practicable. Both daily and intermediate cover will be uniformly graded to promote drainage of surface water. All slopes will have a grade of not less than three percent.

2.8.1 Vector Control Program

Pursuant to UAC R315-303-5(4), appropriate control and prevention of disease vectors at the Fillmore Landfill will be used for the protection of public health and safety. Control and prevention are accomplished using techniques appropriate for the protection of public health and safety and the environment. Compaction and grading of waste at the active face prevents vector harborage in the waste mass. A minimum of six inches of cover material is applied to the working face at least once each week. This waste management practice assures minimum exposure of wastes to potential disease vectors by reducing available entry space, food sources, and nesting locations. Rodent populations may be controlled by the use of poisons, smoke devices, or sonar techniques, if necessary.

Insect breeding areas, which may develop in areas of stagnant water or putrescible wastes, will be addressed as discovered. The presence of standing water at the facility will be minimized through the uniform grading of fill surfaces and the installation of a drainage control system. The accumulation of fluids in the waste mass will be minimized by the restriction of liquid wastes accepted at the landfill. Putrescible wastes, such as dead animals, are placed in a separate disposal area and covered immediately upon disposal, thereby limiting the likelihood of disease transmission. If insect infestations occur in spite of these measures, approved insecticidal sprays or other methods may be employed.

2.8.2 Erosion and Dust Control

Completed portions of the landfill will be configured and maintained as described in the closure and post-closure plans contained in Sections 4.0 and 5.0 of this *Application for Renewal of a Permit to Operate* the site. Construction of a graded and compacted final cover, and subsequent revegetation, will help prevent erosion, surface deterioration, and fugitive dust generation. A water truck will be available on an as-needed basis to apply water to site roads and disturbed surfaces on the landfill property to control fugitive dust emissions.

2.8.3 Litter Control Program

Litter control measures will be implemented at the Fillmore Landfill in order to prevent scattering of lightweight debris. The primary control measure will include compaction and covering of waste. If necessary, portable litter fences will be placed downwind and within 100 feet of active disposal areas. Litter collection will be performed on an as-needed basis by landfill personnel or contracted day laborers.

2.8.4 Scavenging/Salvaging

Scavenging and salvaging at the Fillmore Landfill are prohibited in all areas of the facility. This provision is enforced through the use of access control measures, perimeter fencing, and employee diligence.

2.9 Site Inspections

The landfill attendant will conduct daily site inspections for litter, scattered paper, and other lightweight debris. All recovered waste is returned to the active fill area for proper disposal. Quarterly site inspections will be performed and will include a visual inspection of drainage control systems, fill surfaces, perimeter fencing, and site equipment and structures. Quarterly inspection reports will be entered into the operating record for the facility. A copy of the quarterly inspection form is included in Appendix 4.

2.10 Contingency Plans

UAC R315-302-2(d, f, j) requires the development of contingency plans to be implemented in the event of an emergency at the site. These plans must include an organized, coordinated, and technically and financially feasible course of action for response to fire or explosion, releases of toxic or hazardous material, landfill gas, failure of run-off containment system, and equipment breakdown. In addition, an alternative waste handling or disposal system must be developed in case the facility becomes unable to accept waste because of an emergency. The contingency plan for each of these occurrences is described below.

A general emergency operations plan has been developed for Millard County. In addition, the County Sheriff maintains a hazardous materials' response plan. It is anticipated that one of these plans will be invoked by County personnel if the severity of an event at the landfill facility requires the participation of an emergency response team.

A cellular phone will be maintained at the landfill gatehouse and will enable contact with the appropriate outside services in case of an emergency. In the event of an injury and depending on the severity of an injury, workers may either treat themselves, call the Fillmore Volunteer Fire Department, or summon an ambulance. The injured worker is given discretion regarding whom to call and at what point. First aid kits will be maintained in the gatehouse and in all County landfill vehicles. The Landfill Facility Supervisor or the Landfill Operations Director will be notified in the event of more severe injuries, and will ensure availability of appropriate medical care. If an emergency-response team is called to the site, site personnel will complete an

incident report form and record the date, time, type of injury, actions taken, response time of the emergency management service, and the time at which the individual was evacuated from the site.

2.10.1 Equipment Breakdown

In the event of equipment breakdowns which cannot be repaired by the County Landfill Department, a commercial repair facility will be contacted. Backup equipment will be provided by the Road Department or the Delta Landfill within 24 hours if necessary; therefore, 24 hours is considered to be the maximum anticipated down time due to equipment failure. Additionally, auxiliary equipment may be leased from a private contractor as required, or borrowed from other County departments.

2.10.2 Fire or Explosion

On-site personnel are prepared to provide immediate fire suppression in the event of a waste or structure fire. Fire extinguishers are mounted on all site equipment and County vehicles. In the event of a fire at the active face or within the waste mass, stockpiled cover soils will be used to cover the burning or smoldering area. Water will not be applied to the active face unless absolutely necessary. In the event of an uncontrolled fire or a fire that cannot be managed by on-site personnel, the Fillmore Volunteer Fire Department will be contacted. The Fire Department is located in central Fillmore, approximately two miles from the landfill; estimated response time is approximately 10 minutes. On arrival at the facility, the Fire Department will assume responsibility for continued fire abatement activities.

2.10.3 Environmental Releases

The Fillmore Volunteer Fire Department will be called immediately and will act as the first response team in the event of hazardous or toxic material discharges at the Fillmore Landfill. On arrival at the facility, the fire department will assume responsibility for subsequent activities related to the safe handling of the discharged material. Landfill personnel will not handle

hazardous material spills, except under the direct supervision of the Fire Department or the emergency management team. The landfill attendant will ensure the safe evacuation of all employees and the public. Advanced planning of emergency exit routes is the responsibility of the operator. All employees will be regularly appraised of established primary and secondary exit routes.

2.10.4 Facility Shutdown

In the unlikely event of an emergency which requires the short term closure of the landfill, several options are available. Waste haulers may be temporarily diverted to the Fillmore Transfer Station or the Millard County Landfill, located six miles east of Delta, Utah. Additional 40-cubic yard debris boxes may be acquired to accept additional waste volumes at waste collection points within the service area. In the event that the landfill is unable to accept waste for an extended period of time, long-hauling the waste to the Millard County Landfill or another waste disposal facility will be implemented.

2.11 Personnel Training

Personnel will receive training in landfill operations on regularly scheduled safety meetings which are held the first Friday of each month. Training of landfill personnel will be a continuing process including landfill operations, basic first aid, and safety training. Basic safety and first aid training will be conducted on an annual basis. At least one employee with CPR and first aid training will be available during all operating hours. Waste inspectors attend SWANA training courses as offered through the state organization.

2.12 Record Keeping

The operation of the Fillmore Landfill is considered to be approved by UDEQ upon issuance of a permit to operate. The following information will be recorded and maintained in the operating record for the Fillmore Landfill, at the gate house and/or the Millard County Offices in Delta:

- records of inspections, training of personnel, and procedures for notifications to UDEQ relating to hazardous waste required by UAC R315-303-5;
- plans for closure and post-closure and any monitoring, testing or analytical data required by UAC R315-302-3 and R315-302-2©; and,
- documentation of cost estimates and financial assurance required by UAC R315-309-2(2).

Because leachate collection and ground water monitoring systems are neither installed nor planned for installation at the Fillmore Landfill, documentation related to these systems will not be kept. The records of documentation related to the plans for closure and post-closure are included in this *Application for Renewal of a Permit to Operate*, and therefore have been included in the operating record. The records of inspections, personnel training, and hazardous waste notifications, as well as any monitoring required during closure or post-closure activities, will be entered into the operating record as the information is developed. UDEQ will be notified when new documentation has been placed in or added to the operating record. All information will be made available to UDEQ upon request. Reports of the quantity of solid waste received at the Fillmore Landfill will be submitted to UDEQ on an annual basis on forms supplied by UDEQ.

PART III - TECHNICAL DATA

3.0 ENGINEERING REPORT

The engineering report has been prepared in accordance with R315-310-4(2)© of the Utah Administrative Code (UAC).

3.1 Maps, Drawings and Specifications

All maps and drawings are included in Appendix 1 of this permit application. Figures 1 and 2 illustrate the location of the landfill in relation to state and county boundaries and the City of Fillmore. Figure 3 depicts the zoning of the landfill property and the surrounding land. Figure 4 illustrates the existing facilities and topography of the site. Base topography for Figures 4, 5, 8, and 9 was digitized from the U.S. Geological Survey Fillmore, Utah 7.5 Minute Quadrangle (1961). Figure 5 presents the proposed final grading plan for the landfill, which Figure 6 depicts a cross section along a line running from north to south across the landfill property. The location of the cross section is indicated on Figure 5. Figure 7 presents specific details of existing and proposed facilities. Figures 8 and 9 illustrate the off-site and on-site drainage sub-areas used to calculate run-on and run-off flows and design the drainage control system. There are no structures within one-quarter mile of the facility. The closest weather station for which prevailing wind information is available is near Delta, Utah. According to the Utah State Climatologist's Office, the prevailing winds in the Delta area blow from the northwest to the southeast (personal communication, D. Jensen).

3.2 Design and Location of Run-Off and Run-On Control System

The run-off control systems proposed for the Fillmore Class IV Landfill, illustrated on Figure 5 (Appendix 1), have been designed to control and redirect the flow resulting from a 25-year, 24-hour storm event during the post-closure period. During the operating life, the application of soil cover to exposed waste surfaces will minimize the volume of contact water

generated during precipitation events. Temporary berms will be constructed around the active disposal area to contain run-off flows which may come in contact with waste during disposal operations.

Run-off controls during the active life of the facility will include the gradual construction of exterior and interior perimeter drainage along the perimeter of the waste footprint and along the maintenance bench. Temporary diversion berms will be constructed when necessary up-slope from the active disposal area. These will redirect potential run-on flows that are generated off-site and up-slope from the active disposal area. As a result of the combination of these run-on control features, the amount of water entering or affecting the active disposal area will be limited to direct precipitation. Based on the favorable climate of the area and relatively low calculated flow velocities, all drainage channels will be grass-lined.

The United States Department of Agriculture Soil Conservation Service (SCS) TR-55 methodology was used to calculate peak flood hydro graphs (USDA, 1986) for each of the drainage areas delineated on Figures 8 and 9. Rainfall intensity data used in the TR-55 method was derived from the Precipitation Frequency Atlas of the United States - Volume VI - Utah (NOAA, 1973). The 25-year, 24-hour storm depth used was 2.6 inches, while a two-year storm depth of 1.4 inches was used.

Hydrologic soil groups within the drainage areas were identified by a representative of the U.S. Department of Agriculture Natural Resource Conservation Service (personal communication, V. Parslow). The 40-acre site contains two soil groups identified as the Borvant and Pahvant complexes. Both soils are classified as hydrologic soil group D. The cover type was selected as pasture, grassland, or range with good coverage. The cover type and hydrologic soil group classifications were used to select the appropriate runoff curve number from Table 2-2d of TR-55 (USDA, 1986) As such, the existing site conditions were given a runoff curve number of 80. Since the site closure plan calls for the revegetation of all disturbed areas with native vegetation, the same curve number was used in the drainage analyses for both on and off site drainage areas.

Manning's values for drainage surfaces were derived from Merritt (1983). All unlined earthen drainage were given a Manning's value of 0.035, appropriate for grass-lined channels. The only culvert used in the conceptual design was given a Manning's value of 0.024 for corrugated metal storm drains, based on information presented in Table 21-11 from Merritt (1983). Drainage channels and the culvert were sized using the FlowMaster I computer program (Haestad, 1990). The resulting flow depths and velocities in each channel and culvert, as determined by the Flow-Master analysis, are summarized in the attached Table 5-2 in Appendix 5. All drainage channels which collect and control on-site run-off were conservatively sized as 12- or 18-inch deep v-ditches with 3:1 side slopes, or as 12-inch deep drainage swales with 10:1 side slopes. The drainage diversion channel along the southern property boundary which diverts off-site run-on away from the facility footprint was conservatively designed as an 18-inch deep trapezoidal channel with 2:1 side slopes and a 24-inch wide base. TR-55 calculations predict a maximum flow of 46 cfs in any particular channel which could impact the final cover of the facility. A series of data sheets from the FlowMaster analysis is attached to provide detailed information on the flow depth and velocity in each drainage channel at the calculated discharge and given slope grades included in the facility design. The culvert and channel designations refer to the labeling system presented on Figures 8 and 9.

Culvert 1 (C1, Figure 9) concentrates flows collected from the Drainage Areas 3A and 3B and routes them under the maintenance bench and down the western landfill slope into a natural drainage off-site to the northwest. This culvert was designed as an 18-inch diameter corrugated metal pipe with a drop inlet on the upstream end and a t-section at the downstream end. The culvert was conservatively sized to handle the predicted flow of four cubic feet per second. Predicted peak flows, water depths, and design depths in each channel and culvert are summarized in Tables 5-1 and 5-2.

3.3 Closure and Post-Closure Design, Construction and Maintenance

A detailed discussion of closure and post-closure design, construction, and maintenance is included in Sections 4.0 and 5.0 of this application. Because of its remote location, the post-

closure land use of the property is likely to be open range. However, the perimeter fence will remain in place until the completion of the post-closure care period.

3.4 Facility Zoning Status

The landfill site is within County zoning boundaries designated as RF, Range and Forest lands with a twenty-acre minimum. Use of the site for landfilling purposes is consistent with the RF zoning classification. Surrounding land use is primarily for agricultural and open range purposes. Land within one-half mile of facility property boundaries to the north, south, east and west are also designated with zoning classification RF. Zoning boundaries and classifications within three miles of facility property boundaries are delineated in Figure 3, Fillmore Zoning Map, enclosed in Appendix 1.

3.5 Relationship to Solid Waste Management Plan

All municipal waste within Millard County is currently routed to the Millard County Landfill near Delta, Utah. The Fillmore Class IV Landfill is an integral component of the Millard County Solid Waste Management Plan in that it provides a central location within Millard County for the disposal of Class IVb waste. As a result, a large portion of the waste generated in Millard County is diverted from the Millard County Landfill to the Fillmore Class IVb Landfill, where it can be landfilled more economically and efficiently.

3.6 Compliance with R315-305

Section R315-305 of the Utah Administrative Code defines general and operating requirements for the operation of a Class IVb landfill in the State of Utah. This section describes how the Fillmore Class IVb Landfill satisfies those requirements.

- As described above, the Fillmore Class IVb Landfill is not subject to the location restrictions defined in Section R315-302-1.
- With the submittal and subsequent approval of this *Application for Renewal of a Permit to Operate a Class IVb Disposal Facility at the Fillmore Landfill, Fillmore, Utah*, Millard County will obtain a permit to operate in accordance with R315-305-2(2).

- As described in Section 3.2, the Fillmore Class IVb Landfill has been designed to collect and control the run-on and run-off resulting from a 25-year, 24-hours storm event as per Section R315-305-2(3).
- As required by Section R315-305-2(4) and described in the Plan of Operation, the landfill attendant will record estimates of the incoming volumes and types of waste disposed of at the site.
- Millard County will comply with UAC R315-302-2(6) as described in Section 1.6 of this report regarding notations to the deed to the landfill property.
- The operation of the Fillmore Class IVb Landfill meets with the requirements for operation, established by R315-305-3, as described by the Plan of Operation in Section 2.0 of this permit application.
- The landfill will be closed in accordance with the Closure Plan described in Section 4.0 of this application.

4.0 CLOSURE PLAN

This Closure Plan has been prepared for the Fillmore Class IVb Landfill in accordance with UAC R315-302-3. Closure of the landfill will be performed in accordance with this plan, and in such a manner as to:

- minimize the need for further maintenance;
- minimize or eliminate threats to human health and the environment from post-closure escape of solid waste constituents, leachate, landfill gases, contaminated run-off or waste decomposition products to the ground, ground water surface water, or the atmosphere; and,
- adequately prepare the facility for the post-closure period.

This plan and any future alterations or amendments to this plan will be maintained with the operations plan for the facility at the Millard County Offices in Delta, Utah.

4.1 Closure Construction

The final cover will be constructed in accordance with UAC R315-303-4(4)(a). The final cover will consist of two feet of compacted native soil. Of these two feet, the upper six inches will be topsoil or other suitable soil which is capable of sustaining native plant growth. The final cover will be revegetated with native plants and grasses according to a plan developed or recommended by a representative of the U.S. Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) representative, and graded to prevent ponding and minimize infiltration of run-off waters.

Based on the vertical and lateral expansion design presented in the drawing set in Appendix 1, Millard County will perform final cover placement at the end of the active life of the landfill. Closure activities will be initiated when the development of the site reaches the final design height illustrated on attached Figure 5 (Appendix 1). As a result, the size of the area to be closed will encompass the only the 5.8 acres located inside the fenced area along with the 10.2 outside the fence which is being used for dead animal disposal. Therefore, there will be a 16-acre limit of final cover placement. All equipment which will not be used on-site during the post-closure period will be removed. Structures at the site which remain after the final receipt of waste, and which will not be an integral part of post-closure site maintenance, will be removed from the site. Any soil contamination remaining after the final receipt of waste will be removed, treated, or disposed of according to applicable regulation. Following the final receipt of waste, any remaining stockpiles of recyclable or other stored materials will be removed from the site.

Rough contouring will be performed throughout the life of the site during daily operation. Following the general site cleanup described above, final contouring will be performed using waste and native soils to establish a suitable foundation for final cover construction. The site will be surveyed to establish base elevations for closure cap construction. After final grading of the foundation layer, the final cover layer will be constructed. Following the placement and compaction of a minimum of two feet of native soil, the landfill will again be surveyed to verify the final thickness of the final cover layer. As described above, the upper six inches of the final cover layer will be comprised of topsoil or a comparable soil which is capable of sustaining

native plant growth. Following placement of the final cover, the top several inches of soil will be scarified and then seeded or hydroseeded with a seed/fertilizer mixture designed or recommended by a representative of the NRCS.

Drainage channels have been designed and will be constructed to accommodate the flow from a 25-year, 24-hour storm event. Much of the drainage system construction will be accomplished throughout the active life of the facility. Any drainage system construction which remains after the final receipt of waste, such as along the maintenance bench on the west slope of the waste mass, will be completed following the installation of the final cover described above. Interior and exterior perimeter drainage or drainage diversions will be constructed as defined in Section 3.2 and illustrated on Figure 5 (Appendix 1). The drainage will assist in maintaining the integrity of the final cover and preventing a washout of waste due to uncontrolled run-off during precipitation events.

4.2 Closure Schedule

At least 60 days before the projected final receipt of waste, Millard County will notify the Executive Secretary of the intent to close the Fillmore Class IVb Landfill and implement the closure plan. Within thirty days after the final receipt of waste, Millard County will implement the approved closure plan. The closure activities described in this plan will be completed within 180 days of initiation. Following the completion of closure activities, Millard County will submit to the Executive Secretary a set of as-built drawings of final closure construction.

4.3 Site Capacity

The area-fill method of disposal is utilized at the Fillmore Class IVb Landfill. Current operating plans are to continue filling in the northwest corner of the site until the area reaches the level of the existing waste mass to the east. Filling will then commence against the east wall of the current soil borrow pit and continue north and west as the borrow pit is expanded to the northern limit of waste placement. This second waste lift will be approximately 10 feet thick and will be completed from the eastern wall of the existing borrow pit to the extreme western waste

slope. The life of the entire site is expected to be considerably more than 50 years. As a result, a rough volume estimation was done for the completion of the existing waste lift to the northwestern corner and the subsequent lift from the eastern wall of the soil borrow pit to the western waste boundary. In order to estimate the expected life of these two lifts, the following assumptions were made:

- total incoming waste volume is estimated at 8 cy per day;
- 50% of the incoming waste is diverted from disposal through burning or recycling;
- total landfilled waste is estimated at approximately 8.6 cy per day, 156 days per year;
- remaining volume of the existing waste lift is 26,100 cy;
- disposal volume of second lift is approximately 185,000 cy (1,000' L x 500' W x 10' D);
- waste to soil ratio is approximately 10:1; and,
- average annual growth projections for Millard County are estimated at 0.75% (Stansbury, 1993).

Loading rate calculations based on these assumptions are included in Appendix 4.

Twelve-year growth projections for the county were obtained from the Millard County Solid Waste Management Plan (Stansbury, 1993) and applied into the future. The calculations indicate that the remaining portion of the existing waste lift will provide approximately 26,100 cubic yards of waste disposal lasting into the year 2010. The subsequent 10-foot lift extending from the east wall of the existing borrow pit to the western waste slope will provide an additional disposal volume of approximately 185,000 cubic yards and waste disposal into the year 2046. Based on this data, it is reasonable to predict a conservative site life of well over 50 years.

4.4 Final Inspection

Following the completion of closure activities, a final report will be prepared and entered into the operating record of the facility. The report will summarize laboratory and field test data which support the conformance of the final cover installation and closure activities with the Utah solid waste regulations and the approved Closure Plan. The report will also include as-built construction drawings. The Executive Secretary will be notified of the completion of closure activities and arrangements will be made for a final inspection by UDEQ. Following final

5.0 POST-CLOSURE PLAN

The Post-Closure Plan has been developed in accordance with UAC R315-302-3. Post-closure care and maintenance of the Fillmore Class IVb Landfill will be performed in accordance with this plan, which provides for continued facility maintenance. The design of the Fillmore Class IVb Landfill does not include a gas monitoring, ground water monitoring or leachate collection system; therefore, the post-closure plan does not include provisions for gas or ground water monitoring. The office listed below may be contacted during the post-closure period regarding issues which concern the landfill property:

*Sheryl L. Dekker, Assistant to the
Millard County Commission
71 South 200 West
Post Office Box 854
Delta, Utah 84624
(435) 864-1400*

5.1 Monitoring of Environmental Systems

This permit application is submitted without provisions for ground water monitoring, surface water monitoring, or leachate collection or treatment systems. Exclusion of these items is based on the classification of the landfill as a Class IVb waste disposal facility.

5.2 Maintenance Activities

Following closure of the Fillmore Class IVb Landfill, the final cover and drainage systems will be inspected at least annually by personnel from Millard County. The final cover and drainage system will be examined for the effects of erosion, subsidence, settlement, or other events which may compromise the integrity of the final cover or the effectiveness of the drainage system. Necessary repairs will be completed as soon as is practicable following each inspection in order to maintain the effectiveness of the drainage system and restore the integrity of the final cover. The site perimeter fence will also be inspected during annual inspections.

5.3 *Post-Closure Schedule*

Post-closure activities will be initiated immediately following the completion of the closure activities described in Section 4.0 of this application. Post-closure activities will continue for a period of thirty years or a period established by the Executive Secretary. If post-closure monitoring activities indicate that the site has stabilized and does not pose a threat to human health or the environment, Millard County may petition the Executive Secretary for a decrease in the length of the post-closure monitoring period.

Upon completion of post-closure monitoring activities as determined by the Executive Secretary, Millard County will submit to the Executive Secretary a certification, signed by a County representative, which states why post-closure activities are no longer necessary. Following final approval by the Executive Secretary, post-closure monitoring activities will be discontinued.

5.4 *Record Modifications*

Within 60 days after the completion of all closure activities, plats and a statement of fact concerning the location of any disposal site shall be recorded as part of the record of title with the County Recorder. The notation will serve to notify any potential purchaser of the property that the land has been used as a landfill, and that its use may be restricted by local land use or zoning regulations. Millard County will notify the Executive Secretary that the deed notation has been recorded.

5.5 *Post-Closure Cost Estimate*

The following post-closure cost estimate has been prepared utilizing Appendix G of the Utah State Solid Waste Permitting and Management Rules. Some of the assumptions used to derive the cost estimate included annual inspection of the integrity of the final cover and general site condition and hiring a third-party to perform the inspections. The cost estimate for annual post-closure care is presented in detail in Table 5.1 below, and is based on 1997 dollars. A ten percent contingency has been built into the cost estimate. Millard County has established a trust

fund account with the State of Utah Treasurer's Office. The trust fund will provide financial assurance for closure construction and post-closure maintenance at the Fillmore Class IVb Landfill.

Table 5.1: COST ESTIMATE FOR ANNUAL POST-CLOSURE CARE					
<i>Fillmore Class IVb Landfill</i>					
ITEM	UNIT	\$/UNIT	#/UNITS	COST	2007 Inflationary Amount
1. Site Inspection and Record keeping	hr	\$15	40	\$ 600	\$ 786
2. Correctional Plans and Specifications	hr	\$75	20	\$ 1,500	\$1,964
3. Maintenance Construction	hr	\$75	32	\$ 2,400	\$3,143
Subtotal				\$4,500	\$5,893
10 % Contingency				\$ 450	\$ 590
TOTAL				\$ 5,000	\$6,483

6.0 FINANCIAL ASSURANCE

The current Closure Cost Estimate with inflation is: \$49,987.00
 The Current Post Closure Cost Estimate with inflation is: 6,483.00
 Total: \$56,470.00

The *PTIF Financial Assurance* trust fund account # 2528 is in place with a current balance of \$67,487.86. Millard County is no longer contributing to this fund and believes that with accrued interest there will be more than adequate as a contingency to unforeseen costs in closure and post-closure operations.

7.0 REFERENCES

Brough, R.C., Jones, D.L. and Stevens, D.J., 1987, *Utah Comprehensive Weather Almanac*: Publisher's Press, Salt Lake City, Utah.

Haestad Methods, Inc., 1990, *FlowMaster I*: Haestad Methods, Inc., Waterbury, Connecticut.

Merritt, F.S., 1983, Standard handbook for civil engineers: Third edition, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 1973, Precipitation Frequency Atlas of the United States - Volume VI, Utah: United States Department of Commerce.

Stansbury Design Associates, 1993, DRAFT Millard County Solid Waste Management Plan 1993-2012: unpublished report submitted to Millard County, Utah, 38 pp.

Tchobanoglous, G. Theisen, H., Eliassen, R., 1977, Solid wastes: engineering principles and management issues: McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York


United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, 1986 Urban hydrology for small watershed watersheds: Engineering Division, Technical Release 55, Second Edition, June 1986.

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, 1972, National Engineering Handbook - Section 4 - Hydrology: U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.


United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, 1975, Standards and specifications for soil erosion and sediment control in developing areas, College Park, Maryland, June 1986.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 1992, Draft Technical Manual for Solid Waste Disposal Facility Criteria-40 CFR Part 258: U.S. EPA, April 1992.

Utah Administrative Code, 1994, Solid Waste Permitting and Management Rules, R315-301 through 320: Utah Department of Environmental Quality, Division of Solid and Hazardous Waste, Revised as of July 1, 2001.



MILLARD COUNTY



UTAH



j:\945013.02\fillocn1.dwg

VECTOR
ENGINEERING, INC

Carson City, NV • Grass Valley, CA • El Dorado Hills, CA


JOB NO. 945013.02 APPR. CEN DATE: 8/1/97


SITE VICINITY MAP

FILLMORE CLASS IV LANDFILL
MILLARD COUNTY, UTAH

FIGURE

1





Base map from U.S.G.S. Fillmore, Utah 7.5-Minute Quadrangle (1961).

j:\945013.02\fillocn2.dwg

VECTOR
ENGINEERING, INC

Carson City, NV • Grass Valley, CA • El Dorado Hills, CA

JOB NO. 945013.02 APPR. CEN DATE: 8/1/97

SITE LOCATION MAP

FILLMORE CLASS IV LANDFILL
MILLARD COUNTY, UTAH

FIGURE


2

FILLMORE AREA ZONING MAP


Fillmore Class IV Landfill

FIGURE 3

8/03/2007




	Fillmore City
County Zone Types	
	Ag Industrial
	Agriculture
	Light Industrial
	Heavy Industrial
	Highway Commercial
	Residential 1
	Range & Forest 20




LEGEND

-  FILL SLOPE
-  CUT SLOPE
-  WASTE TIRE STOCKPILE
-  WOOD WASTE STOCKPILE
-  METALS STOCKPILE
-  CROSS SECTION LOCATION



Base topographic map modified from U.S.G.S. Fillmore, Utah 7.5-Minute Quadrangle (1961).
 j:\945013.02\fillxia.dwg

<p>VECTOR ENGINEERING, INC</p> <p>Carson City, NV • Grass Valley, CA • El Dorado Hills, CA</p> <p>JOB NO. 945013.02 APPR. CEN DATE: 8/1/97</p>	<p>EXISTING FACILITIES PLAN</p> <p>FILLMORE CLASS IV LANDFILL</p> <p>MILLARD COUNTY, UTAH</p>	<p>FIGURE</p> <p>4</p>
---	---	-------------------------------




NOTE: SEE FIGURES 4 AND 5 FOR CROSS SECTION LOCATION.

SCALE:
 HORIZONTAL: 1" = 60'
 VERTICAL: 1" = 10'


VECTOR
 ENGINEERING, INC
 Carson City, NV • Grass Valley, CA • El Dorado Hills, CA
 JOB NO. 945013.02 APPR: CEN DATE: 8/1/97

CROSS SECTION A-A'
 FILLMORE CLASS IV LANDFILL
 MILLARD COUNTY, UTAH


FIGURE
6




FINAL COVER (A)
N.T.S. 5,6




GRASS-LINED V-DITCH (B)
N.T.S. 5,9




DRAINAGE SWALE (C)
N.T.S. 5,9




RUN-ON DIVERSION CHANNEL (D)
N.T.S. 5,8
9




ACCESS ROAD (E)
N.T.S. 5



MAINTENANCE BENCH WITH V-DITCH (F)
N.T.S. 5,9




OVERSIDE DRAIN WITH DROP INLET (G)
N.T.S. 5,9




LEGEND

	NATURAL DRAINAGE		HYDRAULIC SUB-AREA BOUNDARY
	DRAINAGE CHANNEL		CHANNEL AND CULVERT DESIGNATION
	BEGIN CHANNEL		SUB-AREA DESIGNATION




Base topographic map modified from U.S.G.S. Fillmore, Utah 7.5-Minute Quadrangle (1961).
 J:\945013.02\fillhydr2.dwg

VECTOR ENGINEERING, INC Carson City, NV • Grass Valley, CA • El Dorado Hills, CA	OFF-SITE HYDRAULIC SUB-AREAS	FIGURE 8
	FILLMORE CLASS IV LANDFILL MILLARD COUNTY, UTAH	
JOB NO. 945013.02 APPR. CEN DATE: 8/1/97		



LEGEND

- NATURAL DRAINAGE
- DRAINAGE CHANNEL
- BEGIN CHANNEL
- SPOT ELEVATION
- FILL SLOPE
- HYDRAULIC SUB-AREA BOUNDARY
- CHANNEL AND CULVERT DESIGNATION
- SUB-AREA DESIGNATION



VECTOR ENGINEERING, INC
 Carson City, NV • Grass Valley, CA • El Dorado Hills, CA
 JOB NO. 945013.02 APPR. CEN DATE: 8/1/97

ON-SITE HYDRAULIC SUB-AREAS
 FILLMORE CLASS IV LANDFILL
 MILLARD COUNTY, UTAH

FIGURE
9

Base topographic map modified from U.S.G.S. Fillmore, Utah 7.5-Minute Quadrangle (1961).
 J:\945013.02\filhydr1.dwg

APPENDIX 2

Landfill Property Lease Agreement

**INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT -
LEASE OF LANDFILL**

THIS AGREEMENT is made by and between the following political subdivisions of the State of Utah:

- I. **MILLARD COUNTY**, a body corporate and politic of the State of Utah, of P.O. Box 226, Fillmore, UT 84631, referred to in this agreement as "County;" and
- II. **FILLMORE CITY**, a municipal corporation of the State of Utah, of P.O. Box 687, Fillmore, Utah 84631, referred to in this agreement as "City."

County and City are referred to collectively in this agreement as the "parties."

RECITALS

The parties recite the following as the basis for entering this agreement:

A. The parties hereto are both political subdivisions of the State of Utah and desire to enter into this agreement under the authority of the Utah Interlocal Cooperation Act as set out in Title 11, Chapter 13, Utah Code Annotated.

B. Since approximately June of 1986 the parties have been jointly operating a landfill site near City, which site is more specifically described hereafter.

C. County is currently attempting to obtain a permit to operate a Class IV landfill at said site. The permitting process requires a written lease arrangement between the parties.

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties enter into this interlocal lease agreement as follows:

1. **LEASE.** City, in consideration of the covenants and agreements hereinafter contained, hereby leases to County, to occupy and use for landfill purposes only the following described real property situated in Millard County, Utah:

The South half of the South half of the Southeast quarter, Section 16,
Township 21 South, Range 4 West, Salt Lake Base and Meridian.

2. **TERM.** The term of this lease shall be for a period of ten (10) years, commencing January 1, 1997 and running until December 31, 2007, unless sooner terminated by either party hereto, as hereafter provided, or by mutual agreement of the parties hereto. The term of this lease shall be extended for additional ten (10) year periods thereafter upon

the mutual agreement of both parties and upon the same terms and conditions as herein provided.

3. RENTAL - CONSIDERATION. County shall pay to City an annual cash rental of TEN DOLLARS (\$10.00) per year, and, as additional consideration, shall operate a landfill site at said location for the use and convenience of the citizens of County.

4. OPERATION - MAINTENANCE. County and City, by separate agreement, shall cooperate and share in some of the expenses of the operation and maintenance of the premises.

5. TERMINATION. Either party hereto shall have the right to terminate this lease upon providing SIXTY (60) days notice to the other party, in writing.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have each executed this agreement the date provided opposite the signatures of the appropriate authorities of each party.

MILLARD COUNTY:

Date executed by County:


8-18-97


Chairman, Board of County
Commissioners of Millard County,
Utah

Attest: Sheri Stephenson, Deputy
Millard County Clerk



Approved pursuant to
UCA§ 11-13-9:


Millard County Attorney

FILLMORE CITY:

Date executed by Fillmore City:

August 18, 1997

Keith S. Hillins
Mayor of Fillmore City

Attest: Marilyn Cummins
Recorder of Fillmore City



Approved pursuant to
UCA§ 11-13-9:

Fillmore City Attorney

FILLMORE CLASS IV LANDFILL LOADING RATE CALCULATIONS

1996-2047

May, 1996 Waste Received¹: 8 cy
 Estimated Waste Diversion²: 50%
 Estimated Daily Volume³: 4 cy
 Service Area Growth Rate⁴: 0.8% per year
 Waste-to-Soil Ratio: 10 : 1
 Target Fill Volume: 212,000 cy

Year	Years of Operation	WASTE DISPOSAL			SOIL REQUIREMENT		TOTAL FILL	
		Annual Total ⁵ (cy)	Disposal Rate (cy/day)	Cumulative Volume (cy)	In-Place Volume (cy)	Cumulative Volume (cy)	Annual Volume (cy)	Cumulative Volume (cy)
1996	0	1,040	4.0	1,040	104	104	1,144	1,144
1997	0	1,048	4.0	2,088	209	313	1,257	2,401
1998	1	1,057	4.1	3,145	315	627	1,371	3,772
1999	2	1,065	4.1	4,210	421	1,048	1,486	5,259
2000	3	1,074	4.1	5,284	528	1,577	1,602	6,861
2001	4	1,082	4.2	6,366	637	2,213	1,719	8,579
2002	5	1,091	4.2	7,457	746	2,959	1,837	10,416
2003	6	1,100	4.2	8,557	856	3,815	1,955	12,371
2004	7	1,108	4.3	9,665	967	4,781	2,075	14,446
2005	8	1,117	4.3	10,783	1,078	5,860	2,196	16,642
2006	9	1,126	4.3	11,909	1,191	7,050	2,317	18,959
2007	10	1,135	4.4	13,044	1,304	8,355	2,440	21,399
2008	11	1,144	4.4	14,188	1,419	9,774	2,563	23,962
2009	12	1,154	4.4	15,342	1,534	11,308	2,688	26,650
2010	13	1,163	4.5	16,505	1,650	12,958	2,813	29,463
2011	14	1,172	4.5	17,677	1,768	14,726	2,940	32,403
2012	15	1,181	4.5	18,858	1,886	16,612	3,067	35,470
2013	16	1,191	4.6	20,049	2,005	18,617	3,196	38,666
2014	17	1,200	4.6	21,249	2,125	20,742	3,325	41,991
2015	18	1,210	4.7	22,459	2,246	22,988	3,456	45,447
2016	19	1,220	4.7	23,679	2,368	25,355	3,588	49,034
2017	20	1,229	4.7	24,908	2,491	27,846	3,720	52,755
2018	21	1,239	4.8	26,148	2,615	30,461	3,854	56,609
2019	22	1,249	4.8	27,397	2,740	33,201	3,989	60,598
2020	23	1,259	4.8	28,656	2,866	36,066	4,125	64,722
2021	24	1,269	4.9	29,925	2,993	39,059	4,262	68,984
2022	25	1,279	4.9	31,205	3,120	42,179	4,400	73,384
2023	26	1,290	5.0	32,494	3,249	45,429	4,539	77,923
2024	27	1,300	5.0	33,794	3,379	48,808	4,679	82,602
2025	28	1,310	5.0	35,105	3,510	52,319	4,821	87,423
2026	29	1,321	5.1	36,425	3,643	55,961	4,963	92,387
2027	30	1,331	5.1	37,757	3,776	59,737	5,107	97,494
2028	31	1,342	5.2	39,099	3,910	63,647	5,252	102,746
2029	32	1,353	5.2	40,452	4,045	67,692	5,398	108,144
2030	33	1,364	5.2	41,815	4,182	71,873	5,545	113,689
2031	34	1,375	5.3	43,190	4,319	76,192	5,694	119,382
2032	35	1,386	5.3	44,575	4,458	80,650	5,843	125,225
2033	36	1,397	5.4	45,972	4,597	85,247	5,994	131,219
2034	37	1,408	5.4	47,380	4,738	89,985	6,146	137,365

Year	Years of Operation	WASTE DISPOSAL			SOIL REQUIREMENT		TOTAL FILL	
		Annual Total ⁵ (tons)	Disposal Rate (tons/day)	In-Place Volume (cy)	In-Place Volume (cy)	Cumulative Volume (cy)	Annual Volume (cy)	Cumulative Volume (cy)
2035	38	1,419	5.5	48,799	4,880	94,865	6,299	143,664
2036	39	1,430	5.5	48,804	4,880	99,746	6,311	149,975
2037	40	1,442	5.5	48,810	4,881	104,626	6,323	156,298
2038	41	1,453	5.6	48,815	4,882	109,508	6,335	162,632
2039	42	1,465	5.6	48,821	4,882	114,390	6,347	168,980
2040	43	1,477	5.7	48,827	4,883	119,273	6,359	175,339
2041	44	1,489	5.7	48,832	4,883	124,156	6,372	181,711
2042	45	1,500	5.8	48,838	4,884	129,040	6,384	188,095
2043	46	1,512	5.8	48,844	4,884	133,924	6,397	194,492
2044	47	1,525	5.9	48,850	4,885	138,809	6,410	200,901
2045	48	1,537	5.9	48,856	4,886	143,695	6,422	207,324
2046	49	1,549	6.0	48,862	4,886	148,581	6,435	213,759
2047	50	1,561	6.0	48,868	4,887	153,468	6,448	220,207

¹ Based on gate records maintained by Millard County.

² Assuming yard waste, tires, metal waste, and white goods are diverted from waste stream.

³ Based on information in the Millard County Solid Waste Management Plan (Stansbury, 1993).

⁴ Based on information provided in the Millard County Solid Waste Management Plan (Stansbury, 1993).

⁵ Based on waste disposal 260 days per year.

APPENDIX 4

Record keeping and Inspection Forms

**FILLMORE CLASS IVb LANDFILL
RECORD OF RANDOM INSPECTION**

DATE	TIME	INSPECTOR	LICENSE #	DRIVER'S NAME	MAKE/MODEL	ACCEPTED MODEL	UDEQ NOTIFIED?	UDEQ CONTACT

LOAD DESCRIPTION:

IF REJECTED, RATIONALE FOR REJECTION:

ACTIONS TAKEN:

FILLMORE CLASS IV LANDFILL

RECORD OF RANDOM INSPECTION

DATE	TIME	INSPECTOR	LICENSE #	DRIVER'S NAME	MAKE/MODEL	ACCEPTED	UDEQ NOTIFIED?	UDEQ CONTACT
LOAD DESCRIPTION:								
IF REJECTED, RATIONALE FOR REJECTION:								
ACTIONS TAKEN:								

Mail to:
Dennis R. Downs, Director
Division of Solid and Hazardous Waste
P.O. Box 144880
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-4880

www.hazardouswaste.utah.gov

2008 SOLID WASTE LANDFILL ANNUAL REPORT

For Calendar year 2007 or most recent fiscal year

Administrative Information (Please enter all the information requested below - type or print legibly)

Facility Name: _____
Facility Mailing Address: _____
(Number & Street, Box and/or Route)
City: _____ Zip Code: _____
County: _____

Owner

Name: _____ Phone No.: (____) _____
Mailing Address: _____
(Number & Street, Box and/or Route)
City: _____ State: _____ Zip Code: _____
Contact's Name: _____ Title: _____
Contact's Mailing Address: _____
Phone No.: (____) _____ Contact's Email Address: _____

Operator *(Complete this section only if the operator is not an employee of the Owner shown above)*

Name: _____ Phone No.: (____) _____
Mailing Address: _____
(Number & Street, Box and/or Route)
City: _____ State: _____ Zip Code: _____
Contact's Name: _____ Title: _____
Contact's Mailing Address: _____
Phone No.: (____) _____ Contact's Email Address: _____

Facility Type and Status

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Class I | <input type="checkbox"/> Class IIIb | <input type="checkbox"/> Class V |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Class II | <input type="checkbox"/> Class IVa | <input type="checkbox"/> Class VI |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Class IIIa | <input type="checkbox"/> Class IVb | |

C/D cell not operated under a separate permit number. Yes No

If facility was permanently closed during the year enter date closed:

Annual Disposal

Total tons received at facility for disposal:

Waste Type	Waste Origin In-State	Total Out-of-State	Measurement	
			Tons	Cubic Yards
Municipal _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Industrial _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
C/D ¹ _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

¹C/D waste includes all waste going to a Class IV or VI landfill cell

Conversion Factor Used

- No conversion factors used
- Conversion factor from rules (R315-302-2(4)©) used
- Site specific conversion used Please list: _____

Recycling

Material Recycled: _____ Tons/Cubic Yds.
(Material recycled should not be included in disposed tons reported. Report compost on separate form. Circle tons or yards)

Utah Disposal Fee

Disposal Fee Required to be Paid to State Yes No

Fee Paid	Municipal	\$ _____	C/D	\$ _____
	Industrial	\$ _____	Annual	\$ _____

Landfill Capacity

Current Landfill Remaining Capacity

Tons: _____ Cubic Yards: _____
Years: _____ Acres: _____

Financial Assurance

Current Closure Cost Estimate: _____
Current Post-Closure Cost Estimate: _____

Current Amount or Balance in Mechanism: _____

(If balance does not equal or exceed total for closure and post-closure care please contact the Division)

Current Financial Assurance Mechanism: _____

(ie. Bond, Trust Fund, Corporate or government Test etc.)

Mechanism Holder and Account Number: _____

(ie. Name of Bond Company, Bank etc. Account number)

Financial Assurance: Each facility must recalculate the cost of closure and post-closure care to account for inflation and design changes each year. The inflation factor can be found on the Division web page. Facilities that are using a trust account should include a copy of the most recent account statement.

Note Facilities using "Local Government Financial Test" or the "Corporate Financial Test" must provide the information required in R315-309-8(4) or R315-309-9(3) each year.

Other Required Reports

Ground Water Monitoring: Class I and V landfills only. Check if exempt

Explosive Gas Monitoring: Class I, II and V landfills only. Check if exempt



Training Report: A report of all training programs or procedures completed by facility personnel during the year.

Signature: _____ **Date:** _____

Signature should be by an executive officer, general partner, proprietor, elected official, or a duly authorized representative. A duly authorized representative must meet the requirements of the solid waste rules (UAC R315-310-2(4)(d)).

Print name: _____ Title: _____

APPENDIX 5
Drainage Design Calculations



NORTH

FROM FIGURE 25, NOAA ATLAS 2, VOL. VI (UTAH)
 PRECIPITATION IN TENTHS OF AN INCH

VECTOR
 ENGINEERING, INC

Carson City, NV • Grass Valley, CA • Santiago, Chile • Mendoza, Argentina

JOB NO. 945013.02

APPR CEN


DATE 8/1/97

2-YEAR, 24-HOUR PRECIPITATION

FILLMORE CLASS IV LANDFILL
 Fillmore, Utah

FIGURE

5A




LEGEND	
	FENCELINE
	FILL SLOPE
	NATURAL DRAINAGE
	DRAINAGE CHANNEL
	BEGIN CHANNEL
	SPOT ELEVATION OF COMPLETED LANDFILL
	CONTOUR LINE EXISTING FILL 2' INTERVAL
	CROSS SECTION LOCATION

THE EXISTING CONTOURS SHOWN ON THIS MAP HAVE BEN OVERLAIN ON THE VECTOR ENGINEERING, INC. FINAL GRADING PLAN FOR THE LANDFILL DATED AUGUST 1, 1997. REFERENCES SHOWN ON THIS SHEET ARE FOR THE VECTOR ENGINEERING PLANS.



SCALE: 1" = 200'

FILENAME: C:\MILLARD CO... PLAN SHEET OF LANDFILL SURVEY: LDW DRAWN: LDW DATE: JANUARY 9, 2008	
MILLARD COUNTY FILLMORE CLASS IV LANDFILL AS BUILT PLAN OF EXISTING CONTOURS	
WALL ENGINEERING, INC. 55 SOUTH MAIN, NO. 2 P.O. BOX 39 FILLMORE, UTAH 84631 (435) 864-7503 CELL (435) 743-6800 PHONE/FAX walleng@crystalpeak.com	
SHEET NO: 1 OF 1	DRAWING NO.:
SCALE: 1" = 200'	



Fax (1 page) 01-09-08
 To: Cheryl Dekker 435-864-1404 fax
 From: Lynn Wall 435-743-6800 cell

Figure 5

APPENDIX 6
Training Forms

**MILLARD COUNTY LANDFILL
NOTIFICATION OF IN SERVICE TRAINING**

Please Print

Employee's Name (Last Name) (First Name) (Middle Initial)

Employee Number Social Security Number Class Completion Date

Title of School or Training Location Number of Hours

Employee Signature Date

I verify that this employee was present for the above listed training hours.

Training Officer, Instructor, or Supervisor Date

Summary of Results

Table 5-1
Summary of Hydrologic Analyses

Time Elapsed (1) (hr)	Estimated Run-Off Flows by Sub-Area, in cfs (2)										
	1A	1B	Combined Flows	2	3A	3B	Combined Flows	4	5	Combined Flows	6
12.0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	3
12.1	1	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	5
12.2	2	4	6	0	0	1	1	0	6	6	9
12.3	4	6	10	1	1	1	2	0	10	10	17
12.4	8	7	15	1	1	2	3	1	12	13	29
12.5	11	7	18	2	2	2	4	1	12	13	40
12.6	13	6	19	3	2	2	4	1	10	11	46
12.7	12	4	16	3	2	2	4	1	7	8	46
12.8	11	3	14	3	2	1	3	1	6	7	42
13.0	7	2	9	2	1	1	2	1	4	5	30
13.2	5	2	7	2	1	1	2	0	3	3	21
13.4	3	1	4	1	1	0	1	0	2	2	16
13.6	3	1	4	1	0	0	0	0	2	2	12
13.8	2	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	10
14.0	2	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	8
Peak	13	7	19	3	2	2	4	1	12	13	46

Notes:

1. Time elapsed since beginning of storm event, in hours.
2. Complete results of each hydrograph are not included in this summary.
3. See attached TR-55 data sheets for input and output details.


Table 5-2
Summary of Hydraulic Analyses

Description	Open Channel Flow							Closed Conduit Flow					
	Channel Number	Contributing Sub-Areas	Lining	Slope	Discharge (cfs)	Flow Depth (ft)	Velocity (fps)	Culvert Number	Diameter (feet)	Slope	Discharge (cfs)	Flow Depth (feet)	Velocity (fps)
V-Ditch	D1	1A	Grass	1.7%	13	1.1	3.6						
V-Ditch	D2	1A, 1B	Grass	4.3%	19	1.1	5.6						
V-Ditch	D3	2	Grass	4.1%	3	0.5	3.5						
V-Ditch	D4	3A	Grass	2.4%	2	0.5	2.6						
V-Ditch	D5	3B	Grass	1.1%	2	0.6	1.9						
V-Ditch		3B	Grass	2.2%	2	0.5	2.5						
CMP Culvert		3A, 3B						C1	1.5	5.0%	4	0.6	6.4
CMP Culvert		3A, 3B						C1	1.5	33.3%	4	0.4	12.5
V-Ditch	D6	5	Grass	3.8%	12	0.9	4.8						
Swale	D7	5	Gravel	2.0%	12	0.7	2.8						
V-Ditch	D8	4, 5	Grass	1.1%	13	1.2	3.1						
		4, 5	Grass	6.1%	13	0.9	5.8						
Trap. Ditch	D9	6	Grass	3.2%	46	1.4	6.7						

Notes:

1. Refer to Figures 8 and 9 for channel and culvert designations and locations.
2. See attached TR-55 and Flowmaster data sheets for input and output details.

Figures and Charts



NORTH

FROM FIGURE 28, NOAA ATLAS 2, VOL. VI (UTAH)
 PRECIPITATION IN TENTHS OF AN INCH

VECTOR
 ENGINEERING, INC

Carson City, NV • Grass Valley, CA • Santiago, Chile • Mendoza, Argentina

JOB NO. 945013.02

APPR CEN

DATE: 8/1/37

25-YEAR, 24-HOUR PRECIPITATION

FILLMOPE CLASS IV LANDFILL
 Fillmore, Utah

FIGURE

5B

Exhibit A-1, continued: Hydrologic soil groups for United States soils

BOLFAR	C	BORGEAU	B	BRACEVILLE	C	BREW	C	BRCKENMORN	D
BOLICKER	B	BORGES	D	BRACKEN	B	BREWER	C	BROLLIAR	D
BOLIO	D	BORJANA	D	BRACKETT	C	BREWLESS	C	BROWER	C
BOLIVAR	B	BORKY	C	BRAD	D	BREWSTER	D	BROWIDE	B
BOLLING	C	BORNSTEDT	C	BRADDOCK	B	BREWTON	C	BROWO	B
BOLSA	C	BORO	D	BRADEN	B	BRIBUTTE	D	BRONAUGH	B
BOLTON	B	BOROBEY	C	BRADENTON	F/D	BRICKEL	C	BRONCHO	B
BOLTUS	D	BORREGO	D	BRADENTON, FLOODED	D	BRICKMILL	C	BRONCHO, LOAMY	A
BOMAR	C	BORREGUERO	C	BRADER	D	BRICKTON	C	SUBSTRATUM	
BOMBADIL	D	BORSKI	B	BRADSHAW	B	BRICO	C	BROMELL	B
BOMBAY	B	BORTH	C	BRADSON	B	BRIDGE	C	BRONSON	B
BOMOSEEN	C	BORUP	B/D	BRADWAY	C	BRIDGE CREEK	C	BROME	C
BON	B	BORYANT	D	BRADY	B	BRIDGEHAMPTON	B	BROOKE	D
BONAIR	D	BOSANKO	D	BRADYVILLE	C	BRIDGEPORT	B	BROOKFIELD	B
BONAMIA	B	BOSCO	B	BRAFFITS	B	BRIDGEP	B	BROOKINGS	B
BONAPARTE	A	BOSKET	B	BRAGG	C	BRIDGESEON	D	BROOKLYN	C/D
BONCLAIR	B	BOSLER	B	BRAMAM	B	BRIDGESEON, DRAINED	C	BROOKMAN	D
BONO	D	BOSO	D	BRAILS FORD	C	BRIDGET	B	BROOKSHIRE	C
BONOFARM	D	BOSQUE	B	BRAINERD	C	BRIDGEWATER	B	BROOKSIDE	C
BONOHAN	D	BOSSBURG	D	BRALLIER	D	BRIEDWELL	D	BROOKSTON	B/D
BONORANCH	D	BOSSBURG, DRAINED	C	BRAM	C	BRIEF	B	BROOKSTON, STONY	D
BONDUEL	C	BOSTON	C	BRAMARD	B	BRIER	D	BROCKSVILLE	D
BONE	D	BOSTRUM	D	BRAMLETT	C	BRIGGS	A	BRODME	B
BONEEK	B	BOSTWICK	B	BRANWELL	C	BRIGGSDALE	C	BROPHY	A/D
BONEYARD	C	BOSVILLE	C	BRANCH	B	BRIGGSVILLE	C	BROSE	D
BONFIELD	B	BUSWELL	D	BRANCROFT	C	BRIGHTON	F/D	BROSELEY	B
BONFRI	C	BOSWORTH	C	BRAND	D	BRIGHTWOOD	B	BROSS	B
BONG	A	BOTELLA	B	BRANDENBURG	A	BRILEY	B	BROUGHTON	D
BONHAM	C	BOTHWELL	B	BRANDON	B	BRILL	B	BROWARD	D
BONIFAY	A	BOTHVI	C	BRANDYWINE	C	BRIILLIANT	C	BROWER	B
BONILLA	B	BOTON	B	BRANFORD	B	BRIMFIELD	C/D	BROWNBEAR	C
BONITA	D	BOTTINEAU	C	BRANHAM	C	BRIMLEY	C	BROWNDELL	D
BONJEA	D	BOTTLE	C	BRANSCOMB	B	BRIMSTONE	D	BROWNELL	B
BONN	D	BOTTLE ROCK	C	BRANTFORD	B	BRINEGAR	B	BROWNFIELD	A
BONNEAU	A	BOULDER	B	BRANTLEY	C	BRINGHEE	B	BROWNLEE	B
BONNELL	C	BOULDER LAKE	D	BRANTON	D	BRINKER	C	BROWN RIGG	D
BONNER	B	BOULDER POINT	B	BRASHEAR	C	BRINKERT	C	BROWNSCOMBE	C
BONNERDALE	B	BOULDER CREEK	B	BRASSFIELD	B	BRINKERTON	D	BROWNSCREEK	C
BONNET	B	BOULDIN	B	BRATTON	B	BRINNUM	D	BROWNSDALE	B
BONNEVILLE	A	BOULFLAT	C	BRAYN	C	BRINNUM, DRAINED	C	BROWNSTO	B
BONNICK	A	BOUNCER	D	BRAYNE	D	BRIDNES	B	BROWNSVILLE	C
BONNIE	C/D	BOUNDARY	B	BRAWLEY	D	BRIOS	A	BROWNTON	C/D
BONNIE, PONDED	C	BOURBON	B	BRAXTON	C	BRISBANE	B	BRUXON	B
BONNYDOON	D	BOURNE	C	BRAY	D	BRISCO	B	BRYLES	B
BONO	D	BOUSIC	D	BRAYTON	C	BRISCDT	D	BRUBECK	D
BONSALL	D	BOV	D	BRAZILTON	D	BRISCDT, DRAINED	C	BRUCE	B/D
BONTA	B	BOVAC	C	BRAZITO	A	BRISKY	D	BRUELLA	B
BONTI	C	BOVBELLS	B	BRAZITO, THICK	B	BRISTOW	D	BRUELLA, HARD	C
BONWIER	C	BOVDISH	C	SURFACE		BRITTC	D	SUBSTRATUM	
BONWIER, GRADED	D	BOVDLE	B	BRAZITO, THICK	C	BRITTON	D	BRUFFY	B
BONZ	C	BOWOODIN	D	SURFACE		BRITWATER	B	BRUMEL	B
BOOFORD	C	BOVDRE	C	SALINE-ALKALI		BROAD	C	BRUMIN	B
BOOFUSS	D	BOVEN	C	BRAZON	C	BROAD CANYON	B	BRUMAN	B
BOOKCLIFF	B	BOVERS	C	BRAZORIA	D	BROADALBIN	C	BRUMBAUGH	C
BOOKER	D	BOVES	B	BRECKENRIDGE	B/D	BROADAX	B	BRUNCAN	D
BOOKOUT	C	BOVIE	B	BRECKNOCK	B	BROADBROOK	C	BRUNDAE	D
BOOKWOOD	B	BOWLAK	C	BRECKSVILLE	C	BROADHEAD	C	BRUNEEL	D
BOOMER	B	BOWLUS	B	BREECE	B	BROADHURST	D	BRUNELDA	D
BOOMSTICK	D	BOWMAN	C	BREGAR	C	BROADMOOR	C	BRUNG	A
BOOMTOWN	D	BOWMANSVILLE	B/D	BREIEM	F	BROADUS	B	BRUNSWICK	B
BOONE	A	BOWNS	C	BREKO	B	BROADWELL	B	BRUNZELL	B
BOCHESBORO	B	BOVSTRING	C	BREMEP	A/D	BROBETT	C	BRUSHCREEK	C
BOONEVILLE	B	BOXELDER	C	BREMER, SANDY	F	BROCK	D	BRUSHCREEK	C
BOONTON	C	BOXFORD	C	SUBSTRATUM		BROCKET	C	BRUSSELS	B
BOONVILLE	C	BOXVILLE	C	BREMO	C	BROCKGULCH	C	BRUSSETT	B
BOOMVILLE	D	BOXWELL	C	BREMS	A	BROCKLISS	B	BRYAN	A
BOOTH	C	BOY	B	BRENDA	C	BROCKMAN	C	BRYANT	B
BOOTHBAY	C	BOYCE	D	BRENNAM	C	BROCKO	B	BRYARLY	D
BOOTJACK	D	BOYD	D	BRENNAM	B	BROCKPORT	D	BRYCAN	D
BOOTS	A/D	BOYER	B	BRENNER	D	BROCKROAD	C	BRYCE	D
BOQUILLAS	C	BOYETT	B	BRENT	D	BROCKSBURG	D	BRYMAN	B
BOBACHO	C	BOYKIM	B	BRENTON	B	BROCKTON	B	BRYSTAL	B
BOBAM	C	BOYLE	D	BRENTSVILLE	C	BROCKWAY	B	BUS	C
BOBAYALL	D	BOYSAG	D	BRENTWOOD	B	BROCKWELL	B	BUBUS	B
BOBDA	D	BOYSEN	D	BRESSA	C	BRODDALE	C	BUCAN	C
BOBDEAUX	B	BOZE	B	BRESSER	B	BRODY	C	BUCAN, GRAVELLY	D
BORDEN	B	BOZEMAN	B	BREYARD	B	BROE	B	BUCHANAM	C
BORDER	B	BRABAS	D	BREYATOR	D	BROGAN	B	BUCHEL	D
BOREALIS	D	BRACE	C	BREYDRT	C	BROGDON	B	BUCHENAU	C

NOTES: TWO HYDROLOGIC SOIL GROUPS SUCH AS B/C INDICATES THE DRAINED/UNDRAINED SITUATION. MODIFIERS SHOWN. E.G., BEDROCK SUBSTRATUM. REFER TO A SPECIFIC SOIL SERIES PHASE FOUND IN SOIL MAP LEGEND.

Exhibit A-1, continued: Hydrologic soil groups for United States soils

OXENDINE	D	PALIX	E	PAPALOTE	C	PATIO	C	PELEE	B
OXERTINE	C	PALLS	C	PAPINEAU	C	FATIT CREEK	B	PELELIU	D/O
OXFORD	D	PALM BEACH	A	PAPOUSE	C	PATNA	B	PELHAM	B/O
OXHEAD	B	PALMA	E	PAPA	B	PATOS	C	PELIC	D
OXLEY	C	PALMAR	C	PAPACHUTE	B	PATOUTVILLE	C	PELION	B/D
OXWALL	D	PALMAREJO	C	PARACISE	C	PATRICIA	B	PELKIE	A
OYHUT	C	PALMER CANYON	E	PARACOX	E	PATRICK	B	PELLA	B/D
OYLEN	C	PALMERDALE	E	PARANAT	E	PATROLE	C	PELLEJAS	B
OZANIS	D	PALMETTO	B/O	PARANAT, DRAINED	E	PATTANI	O	PELLICER	O
OZAN	D	PALMETTO	D	SALINE	D	PATTEE	B	PELONCILLO	O
OZAUKEE	C	DEPRESSIONAL		PAPASOL		PATTENBURG	B	PELTIER	C
OZETTE	C	PALMYCH	B	PARCELAS	D	PATTER	B	PEMBERTON	B
OZIAS	D	PALMS, OVERWASH	A/O	PARCHIN	D	PATTERSON	C	PEMPOKE	B
PAAIKI	B	PALMS, MAAT>50	A/O	PARCHIN, COOL	C	PATTON	B/D	PEMENE	B
PAALOA	B	PALMS, MAAT<50	A/O	PAPTALOE	B	PAUL	E	PEMI	C
PAAMAU	A	PALYS, PONDED	D	PARTEE	D	PAULDING	O	PENA	B
PABLO	D	PALMS, SANDY	A/E	PARTEVILLE	E	PAULINA	D	PENAPON	B
PACHAPPA	B	SUBSTRATUM		PAREMAT	C	PAULSON	B	PENASCO	D
PACHECO	C	PALMS, GRAVELLY	A/O	PARENT	B/O	PAULVILLE	B	PENCE	B
PACHECO, DRAINED	B	SUBSTRATUM		PARIATO	D	PAUMALU	D	PENO DREILLE	B
PACIFICO	C	PALMYRA	E	PARLETTE	E	PAUNSAUGUNT	D	PENOANT	O
PACK	C	PALO	D	PARISA	C	PAUSANT	B	PENDARVIS	C
PACKARD	J	PALODURO	E	PARISTAN	D	PAUWELA	E	PENOE	B
PACKER	B	PALOMARIN	E	PARKALLEY	E	PAVAIAI	C	PENCER	C
PACKHAM	B	PALOMAS	B	PARKAY	F	PAVANI	C	PENDERGRASS	D
PACKTRAIL	C	PALOMINO	O	PARKDALE	P	PAVER	B	PENOLETON	C
PACKWOOD	D	PALON	B	PARKE	B	PAVILLION	E	PENOPOY	D
PACO	C	PALOPINTO	C	PARKEE	E	PAVO	E	PENELAS	D
PACOLET	B	PALGS VERDES	O	PARKFIELD	O	PAVONROO	E	PENEY	D
PACTOLA	B	PALOUSE	E	PARKHILL	B/C	PAVCATUCK	C	PENGILLY	B/D
FACTOLUS	A	PALSGROVE	B	PARKINSON	B	PAMUSKA	D	PENGRA	C
PADDOCK	C/O	PALURY	B	PARKS	E	PAWLING	E	PENINSULA	B
PADEN	C	PAMISON	B	PARKVIEW	B	PANNEE	D	PENISTAJA	B
PADILLA	C	PAMLICO	D	PARKVILLE	C	PANICO	B	PENITENTE	B
PADINA	B	PAMGA	H	PARKWOOD	E/D	PAXTON	C	PENLAW	C
PADRES	B	PAMDEL	C	PARLEYS	C	PAWEL	B/O	PENN	C
PADRONES	E	PAMUNKEY	E	PARLIN	C	PAYETTE	C	PENNEKAMP	A
PADUCAM	B	PANA	E	PARLG	B	PAYMASTER	B	PENNEL	O
PADUS	B	PANAETA	D	PARPELE	C	PAYNE	C	PENNEY	A
PAFSL	B	PANAK	B	PARPELOW	C	PAYNECREEK	C	PENNICHUCK	B
PAGARI	B	PANAMA	B	PAPMENTER	E	PAYSON	D	PENNSUCO	O
PAGESBROOK	O	PANAMINT	B	PAPMLFED	C	PEACHAM	O	PENO	C
PAGINA	C	PANASOFFREE	C/O	PARNELL	C/D	PEACHLAND	D	PENOYER	B
PAGODA	C	PANCHERI	B	PARCUAT	B	PEARL	B	PENROSE	D
PAGOSA	C	PANDO	B	PARR	B	PEARL HARBOR	O	PENSORE	D
PAGUATE	C	PANDOHM	C	PARRAM	C	PEARSOLL	D	PENTHOUSE	D
PAHAKA	B	PANDORA	B/O	PARRISH	C	PEASLEY	D	PENTZ	O
PANOKEE	B/O	PANOURA	D	PARRITA	C	PEASPEAR	D	PENWELL	A
PANRANAGAT	C	PANE	E	PARSHALL	B	PEAYINE	C	PENWOOD	A
PANRANAGAT, VERY	D	PANGBORN	D	PARSIPPANY	C/D	PEAWICK	D	PENZANCE	C
POORLY DRAINED		PANQUITCH	H	PARSONS	C	PEBLEPOINT	C	PEOGA	C
PANRANGE	C	PANMANOLE	E	PARTLOW	E	PECATONICA	B	PEOH	O
PANREAM	C	PANMILL	B	PARTY	O	PECKHAM	O	PEOH, DRAINED	C
PANROO	D	PANIN	B	PARTPI	C	PECKISH	C	PEOLA	C
PANRUMP	C	PANIQUUE	B	PARTRIDGE	A	PECOS	O	PECNE	D
PANSIMEFOI	B	PANIQUUE, WET	C	PASAGSHAK	D	PECTURE	E	PEONE, DRAINED	C
PATA	B	PANITCHEN	B	PASCO	B	PECCAT	D	PEORIA	O
PATCE	O	PANUY	C	PASCO, DRAINED	C	PEDEE	C	PEOTONE	B/D
PATLO	B	PANMOD	C	PASO SECO	D	PEDERNALES	C	PEPAL	B
PAINESVILLE	C	PANOCHE	B	PASQUETTI	D	PEDIGO	C	PEPOON	O
PAINI	D	PANOCHE,	C	PASQUETTI,	C	PEDLFORD	C	PEPPER	D
PALISLEY	B	SALINE-ALKALI,		MODERATELY WET		PEEOLI	E	PEPTON	D
PAT	C	WET		PASQUETTI, DRAINED	C	PEDRICK	B	PEQUAMING	A
PAJARA	C	PANOLA	D	PASQUOTANK	B/O	PEDRQ	C	PEQUEA	B
PAJARITO	B	PANOR	E	PASS CANYON	D	PEEBLES	C	PEQUOP	B
PAJUELA	B	PANOPAMA	E	PASSAR	C	PEEO	D	PERALTA	C
PAKA	B	PANGZA	F	PASSCREEK	C	PEEL	C	PERAZZO	B
PAKALA	B	PANSEY	C	FASTERN	D	PEELER	D	PERCETON	B
PAKINI	B	PANTANO	C	PASTIK	C	PEERLESS	B	PERCHAS	O
PALACIOUS	D	PANTEGO	B/O	FASTORIUS	E	PEETZ	A	PERCILLA	O
PALAFOX	C	PANTERA	B	PASTURA	O	PEEVER	C	PERCIVAL	C
PALANUSH	C	PANTHER	C	PATAMA	C	PEEYWELL	C	PERCOUN	C
PALAPALAI	B	PANTON	D	PATCHEM	C	PEGLEG	C	PERCY	B/D
PALATINE	B	PAOLA	A	PATE	C	PEGLER	D	PERDIN	C
PALAU	B	PAOLI	F	PATELZICK	D	PEGRAM	B	PERELLA	B/O
PALAZZO	C	PAPAA	O	PATENT	C	PERAY	C	PERELLA,	B
PALBOONE	B	PAPAC	C	PATHEAD	C	PEKIN	C	MODERATELY WET	
PALINOR	C	PAPAGUA	C	PATILLAS	E	PELANATCHIE	C	PERHAM	B
PALISADE	B	PAPAI	A	PATILLO	E	PELAN	B	PERICO	B

NOTES: TWO HYDROLOGIC SOIL GROUPS SUCH AS B/C INDICATES THE DRAINED/UNPOINED SITUATION. MODIFIERS SHOWN, E.G., BEDROCK SUBSTRATUM, REFER TO A SPECIFIC SOIL SERIES PHASE FOUND IN SOIL MAP LEGEND.

TR55 Results - Final Site Conditions

Table 2-2c.—Runoff curve numbers for other agricultural lands¹

Cover description		Curve numbers for hydrologic soil group—			
Cover type	Hydrologic condition	A	B	C	D
Pasture, grassland, or range—continuous forage for grazing. ²	Poor	68	79	86	89
	Fair	49	69	79	84
	Good	39	61	74	80
Meadow—continuous grass, protected from grazing and generally mowed for hay.	—	30	58	71	78
Brush—brush-weed-grass mixture with brush the major element. ³	Poor	48	67	77	83
	Fair	35	56	70	77
	Good	30	48	65	73
Woods—grass combination (orchard or tree farm). ³	Poor	57	73	82	86
	Fair	43	65	76	82
	Good	32	58	72	79
Woods. ⁶	Poor	45	66	77	83
	Fair	36	60	73	79
	Good	30	55	70	77
Farmsteads—buildings, lanes, driveways, and surrounding lots.	—	59	74	82	86

¹Average runoff condition, and $I_p = 0.25$.

²*Poor:* < 50% ground cover or heavily grazed with no mulch.
Fair: 50 to 75% ground cover and not heavily grazed.
Good: > 75% ground cover and lightly or only occasionally grazed.

³*Poor:* < 50% ground cover.
Fair: 50 to 75% ground cover.
Good: > 75% ground cover.

⁴Actual curve number is less than 30; use CN = 30 for runoff computations.

⁵CN's shown were computed for areas with 50% woods and 50% grass (pasture) cover. Other combinations of conditions may be computed from the CN's for woods and pasture.

⁶*Poor:* Forest litter, small trees, and brush are destroyed by heavy grazing or regular burning.
Fair: Woods are grazed but not burned, and some forest litter covers the soil.
Good: Woods are protected from grazing, and litter and brush adequately cover the soil.

Project : FILLMORE CLASS IV LANDFILL
 County : MILLARD State: UT
 Subtitle: HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS: SUB-AREA 1

User: RBB Date: 01-08-97
 Checked: cen Date: 1/9/97

----- Subarea #1 - 1a -----

Flow Type	2 year rain	Length (ft)	Slope (ft/ft)	Surface code	n	Area (sq/ft)	Wp (ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Time (hr)
Sheet	1.4	300	.051	E					0.387
Shallow Concent'd		1558	.051	u					0.119
Open Channel		580						2.1	0.077
									Time of Concentration = 0.58*
=====									

Shallow Concent'd		1558	.051	u					0.119
Open Channel		580						2.1	0.077
									Travel Time = 0.20*
=====									

----- Subarea #2 - 1b -----

Flow Type	2 year rain	Length (ft)	Slope (ft/ft)	Surface code	n	Area (sq/ft)	Wp (ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Time (hr)
Sheet	1.4	300	.03	e					0.478
Open Channel		1252						3.3	0.105
									Time of Concentration = 0.58*
=====									

Open Channel		1252						3.3	0.105
									Travel Time = 0.11*
=====									

--- Sheet Flow Surface Codes ---

A Smooth Surface	F Grass, Dense
B Fallow (No Res.)	G Grass, Burmuda
C Cultivated < 20 % Res.	H Woods, Light
D Cultivated > 20 % Res.	I Woods, Dense
E Grass-Range, Short	

--- Shallow Concentrated ---
 --- Surface Codes ---
 P Paved
 U Unpaved

* - Generated for use by TABULAR method

TR-55 TABULAR DISCHARGE METHOD

VERSION 1.11

Project : FILLMORE CLASS IV LANDFILL
 County : MILLARD State: UT
 Subtitle: HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS: SUB-AREA 1

User: RBB Date: 01-08-97
 Checked: cu Date: 1/9/97

Total watershed area: 0.046 sq mi Rainfall type: II Frequency: 25 years
 ----- Subareas -----

	1a	1b
Area(sq mi)	0.03*	0.02*
Rainfall(in)	2.6	2.6
Curve number	80*	80*
Runoff(in)	0.96	0.96
Tc (hrs)	0.58*	0.58*
(Used)	0.50	0.50
TimeToOutlet	0.11*	0.00
(Used)	0.20	0.00
Ia/P	0.19	0.19

Time (hr)	Total Flow	Subarea Contribution to Total Flow (cfs)	
		1a	1b
11.0	0	0	0
11.3	0	0	0
11.6	0	0	0
11.9	1	1	0
12.0	2	1	1
12.1	3	1	2
12.2	6	2	4
12.3	10	4	6
12.4	15	8	7P
12.5	18	11	7
12.6	19P	13P	6
12.7	16	12	4
12.8	14	11	3
13.0	9	7	2
13.2	7	5	2
13.4	4	3	1
13.6	4	3	1
13.8	3	2	1
14.0	3	2	1
14.3	3	2	1
14.6	2	1	1
15.0	2	1	1
15.5	2	1	1
16.0	1	1	0
16.5	1	1	0
17.0	1	1	0
17.5	1	1	0
18.0	1	1	0
19.0	1	1	0
20.0	1	1	0
22.0	0	0	0
26.0	0	0	0

P - Peak Flow * -value(s) provided from TR-55 system routines

TR-55 CURVE NUMBER COMPUTATION

VERSION 1.11

Project : FILLMORE CLASS IV LANDFILL
 County : MILLARD State: UT
 Subtitle: HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS: SUB-AREA 1
 Subarea : 1a

User: RBB
 Checked: Ceu

Date: 01-08-97
 Date: 1/9/97

COVER DESCRIPTION	Hydrologic Soil Group			
	A	B	C	D
	Acres (CN)			
OTHER AGRICULTURAL LANDS				
Pasture, grassland or range good	-	-	-	19.6(80)
Total Area (by Hydrologic Soil Group)				19.6 ====

 SUBAREA: 1a TOTAL DRAINAGE AREA: 19.6 Acres WEIGHTED CURVE NUMBER:80

TR-55 CURVE NUMBER COMPUTATION

VERSION 1.11

Project : FILLMORE CLASS IV LANDFILL User: RBB Date: 01-08-97
 County : MILLARD State: UT Checked: ceu Date: 1/9/97
 Subtitle: HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS: SUB-AREA 2 through 4
 Subarea : 2

COVER DESCRIPTION	Hydrologic Soil Group			
	A	B	C	D
	Acres (CN)			
OTHER AGRICULTURAL LANDS				
Pasture, grassland or range	good	-	-	- 5.42(80)
Total Area (by Hydrologic Soil Group)				5.42 ====

 SUBAREA: 2 TOTAL DRAINAGE AREA: 5.42 Acres WEIGHTED CURVE NUMBER: 80

TR-55 CURVE NUMBER COMPUTATION

VERSION 1.11

Project : FILLMORE CLASS IV LANDFILL User: RBB Date: 01-08-97
 County : MILLARD State: UT Checked: cew Date: 1/9/97
 Subtitle: HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS: SUB-AREA 2 through 4
 Subarea : 3a

COVER DESCRIPTION	Hydrologic Soil Group			
	A	B	C	D
Acres (CN)				
OTHER AGRICULTURAL LANDS				
Pasture, grassland or range	good	-	-	- 3.18(80)
Total Area (by Hydrologic Soil Group)				<u>3.18</u>

 SUBAREA: 3a TOTAL DRAINAGE AREA: 3.18 Acres WEIGHTED CURVE NUMBER:80

TR-55 CURVE NUMBER COMPUTATION

VERSION 1.11

Project : FILLMORE CLASS IV LANDFILL
 County : MILLARD State: UT
 Subtitle: HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS: SUB-AREA 1
 Subarea : 1b

User: RBB Date: 01-08-97
 Checked: cew Date: 1/9/97

COVER DESCRIPTION	Hydrologic Soil Group			
	A	B	C	D
	Acres (CN)			
OTHER AGRICULTURAL LANDS				
Pasture, grassland or range	good	-	-	- 9.84 (80)
Total Area (by Hydrologic Soil Group)				<u>9.84</u>

SUBAREA: 1b TOTAL DRAINAGE AREA: 9.84 Acres WEIGHTED CURVE NUMBER: 80

TR-55 CURVE NUMBER COMPUTATION

VERSION 1.11

Project : FILLMORE CLASS IV LANDFILL User: RBB Date: 01-08-97
 County : MILLARD State: UT Checked: cu Date: 1/9/97
 Subtitle: HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS: SUB-AREA 2 through 4
 Subarea : 3b

COVER DESCRIPTION	Hydrologic Soil Group			
	A	B	C	D
	Acres (CN)			
OTHER AGRICULTURAL LANDS				
Pasture, grassland or range good	-	-	-	3.14(80)
Total Area (by Hydrologic Soil Group)				<u>3.14</u>

SUBAREA: 3b TOTAL DRAINAGE AREA: 3.14 Acres WEIGHTED CURVE NUMBER:80

TR-55 CURVE NUMBER COMPUTATION

VERSION 1.11

Project : FILLMORE CLASS IV LANDFILL User: RBB Date: 01-08-97
 County : MILLARD State: UT Checked: cew Date: 1/9/97
 Subtitle: HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS: SUB-AREA 2 through 4
 Subarea : 4

COVER DESCRIPTION	Hydrologic Soil Group			
	A	B	C	D

OTHER AGRICULTURAL LANDS				
Pasture, grassland or range good	-	-	-	1.8(80)
Total Area (by Hydrologic Soil Group)				<u>1.8</u>

 SUBAREA: 4 TOTAL DRAINAGE AREA: 1.8 Acres WEIGHTED CURVE NUMBER: 80

Project : FILLMORE CLASS IV LANDFILL User: RBB Date: 01-08-97
 County : MILLARD State: UT Checked: ce Date: 1/9/97
 Subtitle: HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS: SUB-AREA 2 through 4

----- Subarea #1 - 2 -----

Flow Type	2 year rain	Length (ft)	Slope (ft/ft)	Surface code	n	Area (sq/ft)	Wp (ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Time (hr)
Sheet	1.4	300	.03	E					0.478
Open Channel		1255						3.25	0.107
									Time of Concentration = 0.59*
=====									

Open Channel		1255						3.25	0.107
									Travel Time = 0.11*
=====									

----- Subarea #2 - 3a -----

Flow Type	2 year rain	Length (ft)	Slope (ft/ft)	Surface code	n	Area (sq/ft)	Wp (ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Time (hr)
Sheet	1.4	296	.03	e					0.473
Open Channel		654						2.5	0.073
									Time of Concentration = 0.55*
=====									

Open Channel		654						2.5	0.073
									Travel Time = 0.07*
=====									

----- Subarea #3 - 3b -----

Flow Type	2 year rain	Length (ft)	Slope (ft/ft)	Surface code	n	Area (sq/ft)	Wp (ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Time (hr)
Sheet	1.4	262	.03	e					0.429
Open Channel		282						1.7	0.046
Open Channel		299						2.4	0.035
									Time of Concentration = 0.51*
=====									

Open Channel		282						1.7	0.046
Open Channel		299						2.4	0.035
									Travel Time = 0.08*
=====									

* - Generated for use by TABULAR method

Project : FILLMORE CLASS IV LANDFILL User: RBB Date: 01-08-97
 County : MILLARD State: UT Checked: Cev Date: 1/9/97
 Subtitle: HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS: SUB-AREA 2 through 4

----- Subarea #4 - 4 -----									
Flow Type	2 year rain	Length (ft)	Slope (ft/ft)	Surface code	n	Area (sq/ft)	Wp (ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Time (hr)
Sheet	1.4	300	.011	e					0.715
Shallow Concent'd		180	.011	u					0.030
Open Channel		444						4	0.031
									Time of Concentration = 0.78*
=====									
Shallow Concent'd		180	.011	u					0.030
Open Channel		444						4	0.031
									Travel Time = 0.06*
=====									

- Sheet Flow Surface Codes ---
- | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|
| A Smooth Surface | F Grass, Dense | --- Shallow Concentrated --- |
| B Fallow (No Res.) | G Grass, Burmuda | --- Surface Codes --- |
| C Cultivated < 20 % Res. | H Woods, Light | P Paved |
| D Cultivated > 20 % Res. | I Woods, Dense | U Unpaved |
| E Grass-Range, Short | | |

* - Generated for use by TABULAR method

TR-55 TABULAR DISCHARGE METHOD

VERSION 1.11

Project : FILLMORE CLASS IV LANDFILL User: RBB Date: 01-08-97
 County : MILLARD State: UT Checked: CW Date: 1/9/97
 Subtitle: HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS: SUB-AREA 2 through 4

Total watershed area: 0.021 sq mi Rainfall type: II Frequency: 25 years
 ----- Subareas -----

	2	3a	3b	4
Area(sq mi)	0.01*	0.00*	0.00*	0.00*
Rainfall(in)	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6
Curve number	80*	80*	80*	80*
Runoff(in)	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96
Tc (hrs)	0.59*	0.55*	0.51*	0.78*
(Used)	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.75
TimeToOutlet	0.21*	0.14*	0.06*	0.00
(Used)	0.30	0.20	0.10	0.00
Ia/P	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19

Time (hr)	Total Flow	Subarea Contribution to Total Flow (cfs)			
		2	3a	3b	4
11.0	0	0	0	0	0
11.3	0	0	0	0	0
11.6	0	0	0	0	0
11.9	0	0	0	0	0
12.0	0	0	0	0	0
12.1	0	0	0	0	0
12.2	1	0	0	1	0
12.3	3	1	1	1	0
12.4	5	1	1	2P	1P
12.5	7	2	2P	2	1
12.6	8P	3P	2	2	1
12.7	8	3	2	2	1
12.8	7	3	2	1	1
13.0	5	2	1	1	1
13.2	4	2	1	1	0
13.4	2	1	1	0	0
13.6	1	1	0	0	0
13.8	1	1	0	0	0
14.0	1	1	0	0	0
14.3	0	0	0	0	0
14.6	0	0	0	0	0
15.0	0	0	0	0	0
15.5	0	0	0	0	0
16.0	0	0	0	0	0
16.5	0	0	0	0	0
17.0	0	0	0	0	0
17.5	0	0	0	0	0
18.0	0	0	0	0	0
19.0	0	0	0	0	0
20.0	0	0	0	0	0
22.0	0	0	0	0	0
26.0	0	0	0	0	0

P - Peak Flow * - value(s) provided from TR-55 system routines

TR-55 CURVE NUMBER COMPUTATION

VERSION 1.11

Project : FILLMORE CLASS IV LANDFILL
 County : MILLARD State: UT
 Subtitle: HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS: SUB-AREA 5
 Subarea : 5

User: RBB Date: 01-08-97
 Checked: cew Date: 1/9/97

COVER DESCRIPTION	Hydrologic Soil Group			
	A	B	C	D
Acres (CN)				
OTHER AGRICULTURAL LANDS				
Pasture, grassland or range	good	-	-	- 16.4(80)
Total Area (by Hydrologic Soil Group)				<u>16.4</u>

 SUBAREA: 5 TOTAL DRAINAGE AREA: 16.4 Acres WEIGHTED CURVE NUMBER:80

TR-55 Tc and Tt THRU SUBAREA COMPUTATION

VERSION 1.11

Project : FILLMORE CLASS IV LANDFILL
 County : MILLARD State: UT
 Subtitle: HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS: SUB-AREA 5

User: RBB Date: 01-08-97
 Checked: Ceu Date: 1/9/97

----- Subarea #1 - 5 -----									
Flow Type	2 year rain	Length (ft)	Slope (ft/ft)	Surface code	n	Area (sq/ft)	Wp (ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Time (hr)
Sheet	1.4	278	.03	E					0.450
Open Channel		1751						3.15	0.154
									Time of Concentration = 0.60*
									=====
Open Channel		1751						3.15	0.154
									Travel Time = 0.15*
									=====

--- Sheet Flow Surface Codes ---

- | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|
| A Smooth Surface | F Grass, Dense | --- Shallow Concentrated --- |
| B Fallow (No Res.) | G Grass, Bermuda | --- Surface Codes --- |
| C Cultivated < 20 % Res. | H Woods, Light | P Paved |
| D Cultivated > 20 % Res. | I Woods, Dense | U Unpaved |
| E Grass-Range, Short | | |

* - Generated for use by TABULAR method

TR-55 TABULAR DISCHARGE METHOD

VERSION 1.11

Project : FILLMORE CLASS IV LANDFILL
 County : MILLARD State: UT
 Subtitle: HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS: SUB-AREA 5

User: RBB Date: 01-08-97
 Checked: ceu Date: 1/9/97

Total watershed area: 0.026 sq mi Rainfall type: II Frequency: 25 years
 ----- Subareas -----

5
 Area(sq mi) 0.03*
 Rainfall(in) 2.6
 Curve number 80*
 Runoff(in) 0.96
 Tc (hrs) 0.60*
 (Used) 0.50
 TimeToOutlet 0.00
 Ia/P 0.19

Time (hr)	Total Flow	Subarea Contribution to Total Flow (cfs)
		5
11.0	0	0
11.3	0	0
11.6	0	0
11.9	1	1
12.0	1	1
12.1	3	3
12.2	6	6
12.3	10	10
12.4	12P	12P
12.5	12	12
12.6	10	10
12.7	7	7
12.8	6	6
13.0	4	4
13.2	3	3
13.4	2	2
13.6	2	2
13.8	1	1
14.0	1	1
14.3	1	1
14.6	1	1
15.0	1	1
15.5	1	1
16.0	1	1
16.5	1	1
17.0	1	1
17.5	1	1
18.0	1	1
19.0	0	0
20.0	0	0
22.0	0	0
26.0	0	0

P - Peak Flow * - value(s) provided from TR-55 system routines

TR-55 CURVE NUMBER COMPUTATION

VERSION 1.11

Project : FILLMORE CLASS IV LANDFILL
 County : MILLARD State: UT
 Subtitle: HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS: SUB-AREA 6
 Subarea : 6

User: RBB Date: 01-08-97
 Checked: Cen Date: 1/9/97

COVER DESCRIPTION	Hydrologic Soil Group			
	A	B	C	D

OTHER AGRICULTURAL LANDS				
Pasture, grassland or range good	-	-	-	80.8(80)
Total Area (by Hydrologic Soil Group)				<u>80.8</u>

 SUBAREA: 6 TOTAL DRAINAGE AREA: 80.8 Acres WEIGHTED CURVE NUMBER:80

Project : FILLMORE CLASS IV LANDFILL
 County : MILLARD State: UT
 Subtitle: HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS: SUB-AREA 6

User: RBB Date: 01-08-97
 Checked: Cen Date: 1/9/97

----- Subarea #1 - 6 -----										
Flow Type	2 year rain	Length (ft)	Slope (ft/ft)	Surface code	n	Area (sq/ft)	Wp (ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Time (hr)	
Sheet	1.4	300	.049	E					0.393	
Shallow Concent'd		2100	.049	u					0.163	
Open Channel		2291						2.9	0.219	
									Time of Concentration =	0.78*
=====										
Shallow Concent'd		2100	.049	u					0.163	
Open Channel		2291						2.9	0.219	
									Travel Time =	0.38*
=====										

--- Sheet Flow Surface Codes ---

- A Smooth Surface
- B Fallow (No Res.)
- C Cultivated < 20 % Res.
- D Cultivated > 20 % Res.
- E Grass-Range, Short
- F Grass, Dense
- G Grass, Burmuda
- H Woods, Light
- I Woods, Dense

- Shallow Concentrated ---
- Surface Codes ---
- P Paved
- U Unpaved

* - Generated for use by TABULAR method

TR-55 TABULAR DISCHARGE METHOD

VERSION 1.11

Project : FILLMORE CLASS IV LANDFILL
 County : MILLARD State: UT
 Subtitle: HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS: SUB-AREA 6

User: RBB Date: 01-08-97
 Checked: cu Date: 1/9/97

Total watershed area: 0.126 sq mi Rainfall type: II Frequency: 25 years
 ----- Subareas -----

6
 Area(sq mi) 0.13*
 Rainfall(in) 2.6
 Curve number 80*
 Runoff(in) 0.96
 Tc (hrs) 0.78*
 (Used) 0.75
 TimeToOutlet 0.00
 Ia/P 0.19

Time Total ----- Subarea Contribution to Total Flow (cfs) -----
 (hr) Flow 6

11.0	1	1
11.3	1	1
11.6	2	2
11.9	2	2
12.0	3	3
12.1	5	5
12.2	9	9
12.3	17	17
12.4	29	29
12.5	40	40
12.6	46P	46P
12.7	46	46
12.8	42	42
13.0	30	30
13.2	21	21
13.4	16	16
13.6	12	12
13.8	10	10
14.0	8	8
14.3	7	7
14.6	6	6
15.0	5	5
15.5	4	4
16.0	4	4
16.5	4	4
17.0	3	3
17.5	3	3
18.0	3	3
19.0	3	3
20.0	2	2
22.0	2	2
26.0	0	0

P - Peak Flow * - value(s) provided from TR-55 system routines

FlowMaster - Final Site Conditions

Triangular Channel Analysis & Design
Open Channel - Uniform flow

Worksheet Name: FILLMORE LANDFILL

Comment: SUB-AREA 1A: V-DITCH D1

Solve For Depth

Given Input Data:

Left Side Slope..	3.00:1 (H:V)
Right Side Slope.	3.00:1 (H:V)
Manning's n.....	0.035
Channel Slope....	0.0170 ft/ft
Discharge.....	13.00 cfs

Computed Results:

Depth.....	1.10 ft
Velocity.....	3.59 fps
Flow Area.....	3.63 sf
Flow Top Width...	6.60 ft
Wetted Perimeter.	6.95 ft
Critical Depth...	1.03 ft
Critical Slope...	0.0239 ft/ft
Froude Number....	0.85 (flow is Subcritical)

Triangular Channel Analysis & Design
Open Channel - Uniform flow

Worksheet Name: FILLMORE LANDFILL

Comment: SUB-AREAS 1A & 1B: V-DITCH D2

Solve For Depth

Given Input Data:

Left Side Slope..	3.00:1 (H:V)
Right Side Slope.	3.00:1 (H:V)
Manning's n.....	0.035
Channel Slope....	0.0430 ft/ft
Discharge.....	19.00 cfs

Computed Results:

Depth.....	1.06 ft
Velocity.....	5.58 fps
Flow Area.....	3.40 sf
Flow Top Width...	6.39 ft
Wetted Perimeter.	6.74 ft
Critical Depth...	1.20 ft
Critical Slope...	0.0227 ft/ft
Froude Number....	1.35 (flow is Supercritical)

Triangular Channel Analysis & Design
Open Channel - Uniform flow

Worksheet Name: FILLMORE LANDFILL

Comment: SUB-AREA 2: V-DITCH D3

Solve For Depth

Given Input Data:

Left Side Slope..	3.00:1 (H:V)
Right Side Slope.	3.00:1 (H:V)
Manning's n.....	0.035
Channel Slope....	0.0410 ft/ft
Discharge.....	3.00 cfs

Computed Results:

Depth.....	0.54 ft
Velocity.....	3.46 fps
Flow Area.....	0.87 sf
Flow Top Width...	3.23 ft
Wetted Perimeter.	3.40 ft
Critical Depth...	0.57 ft
Critical Slope...	0.0291 ft/ft
Froude Number....	1.18 (flow is Supercritical)

Triangular Channel Analysis & Design
Open Channel - Uniform flow

Worksheet Name: FILLMORE LANDFILL

Comment: SUB-AREA 3A: V-DITCH D4 ON BENCH

Solve For Depth

Given Input Data:

Left Side Slope..	3.00:1 (H:V)
Right Side Slope.	3.00:1 (H:V)
Manning's n.....	0.035
Channel Slope....	0.0240 ft/ft
Discharge.....	2.00 cfs

Computed Results:

Depth.....	0.51 ft
Velocity.....	2.56 fps
Flow Area.....	0.78 sf
Flow Top Width...	3.06 ft
Wetted Perimeter.	3.23 ft
Critical Depth...	0.49 ft
Critical Slope...	0.0307 ft/ft
Froude Number....	0.89 (flow is Subcritical)

Triangular Channel Analysis & Design
Open Channel - Uniform flow

Worksheet Name: FILLMORE LANDFILL

Comment: SUB-AREA 3B: V-DITCH D5 ON BENCH @ S=0.011

Solve For Depth

Given Input Data:

Left Side Slope..	3.00:1 (H:V)
Right Side Slope.	3.00:1 (H:V)
Manning's n.....	0.035
Channel Slope....	0.0110 ft/ft
Discharge.....	2.00 cfs

Computed Results:

Depth.....	0.59 ft
Velocity.....	1.91 fps
Flow Area.....	1.05 sf
Flow Top Width...	3.55 ft
Wetted Perimeter.	3.74 ft
Critical Depth...	0.49 ft
Critical Slope...	0.0307 ft/ft
Froude Number....	0.62 (flow is Subcritical)

Triangular Channel Analysis & Design
Open Channel - Uniform flow

Worksheet Name: FILLMORE LANDFILL

Comment: SUB-AREA 3B: V-DITCH D5 ON BENCH @ S=0.022

Solve For Depth

Given Input Data:

Left Side Slope..	3.00:1 (H:V)
Right Side Slope.	3.00:1 (H:V)
Manning's n.....	0.035
Channel Slope....	0.0220 ft/ft
Discharge.....	2.00 cfs

Computed Results:

Depth.....	0.52 ft
Velocity.....	2.47 fps
Flow Area.....	0.81 sf
Flow Top Width...	3.11 ft
Wetted Perimeter.	3.28 ft
Critical Depth...	0.49 ft
Critical Slope...	0.0307 ft/ft
Froude Number....	0.86 (flow is Subcritical)

Circular Channel Analysis & Design
Solved with Manning's Equation

Open Channel - Uniform flow

Worksheet Name: FILLMORE LANDFILL

Comment: SUB-AREAS 3A & 3B: CULVERT C1 ACROSS BENCH

Solve For Actual Depth

Given Input Data:

Diameter.....	1.50 ft
Slope.....	0.0500 ft/ft
Manning's n.....	0.024
Discharge.....	4.00 cfs

Computed Results:

Depth.....	0.58 ft
Velocity.....	6.37 fps
Flow Area.....	0.63 sf
Critical Depth....	0.77 ft
Critical Slope....	0.0184 ft/ft
Percent Full.....	38.52 %
Full Capacity.....	12.72 cfs
QMAX @.94D.....	13.69 cfs
Froude Number.....	1.71 (flow is Supercritical)

Circular Channel Analysis & Design
Solved with Manning's Equation

Open Channel - Uniform flow

Worksheet Name: FILLMORE LANDFILL

Comment: SUB-AREAS 3A & 3B: CULVERT C1 OVERSIDE DRAIN

Solve For Actual Depth

Given Input Data:

Diameter.....	1.50 ft
Slope.....	0.3300 ft/ft
Manning's n.....	0.024
Discharge.....	4.00 cfs

Computed Results:

Depth.....	0.35 ft
Velocity.....	12.54 fps
Flow Area.....	0.32 sf
Critical Depth....	0.77 ft
Critical Slope....	0.0184 ft/ft
Percent Full.....	23.62 %
Full Capacity.....	32.69 cfs
QMAX @.94D.....	35.16 cfs
Froude Number.....	4.42 (flow is Supercritical)

Triangular Channel Analysis & Design
Open Channel - Uniform flow

Worksheet Name: FILLMORE LANDFILL

Comment: SUB-AREA 5: V-DITCH D6

Solve For Depth

Given Input Data:

Left Side Slope..	3.00:1 (H:V)
Right Side Slope.	3.00:1 (H:V)
Manning's n.....	0.035
Channel Slope....	0.0380 ft/ft
Discharge.....	12.00 cfs

Computed Results:

Depth.....	0.92 ft
Velocity.....	4.75 fps
Flow Area.....	2.52 sf
Flow Top Width..	5.50 ft
Wetted Perimeter.	5.80 ft
Critical Depth...	1.00 ft
Critical Slope...	0.0242 ft/ft
Froude Number....	1.24 (flow is Supercritical)

Triangular Channel Analysis & Design
Open Channel - Uniform flow

Worksheet Name: FILLMORE LANDFILL

Comment: SUB-AREAS 4 & 5: V-DITCH D8 @ S=0.011

Solve For Depth

Given Input Data:

Left Side Slope..	3.00:1 (H:V)
Right Side Slope.	3.00:1 (H:V)
Manning's n.....	0.035
Channel Slope....	0.0110 ft/ft
Discharge.....	13.00 cfs

Computed Results:

Depth.....	1.19 ft
Velocity.....	3.05 fps
Flow Area.....	4.27 sf
Flow Top Width...	7.16 ft
Wetted Perimeter.	7.54 ft
Critical Depth...	1.03 ft
Critical Slope...	0.0239 ft/ft
Froude Number....	0.70 (flow is Subcritical)

Triangular Channel Analysis & Design
Open Channel - Uniform flow

Worksheet Name: FILLMORE LANDFILL

Comment: SUB-AREAS 4 & 5: V-DITCH D8 @ S=0.061

Solve For Depth

Given Input Data:

Left Side Slope..	3.00:1 (H:V)
Right Side Slope.	3.00:1 (H:V)
Manning's n.....	0.035
Channel Slope....	0.0610 ft/ft
Discharge.....	13.00 cfs

Computed Results:

Depth.....	0.87 ft
Velocity.....	5.79 fps
Flow Area.....	2.25 sf
Flow Top Width...	5.19 ft
Wetted Perimeter.	5.47 ft
Critical Depth...	1.03 ft
Critical Slope...	0.0239 ft/ft
Froude Number....	1.55 (flow is Supercritical)

Trapezoidal Channel Analysis & Design
Open Channel - Uniform flow

Worksheet Name: FILLMORE LANDFILL

Comment: SUB-AREA 6: DIVERSION CHANNEL D9, TRAP DITCH

Solve For Depth

Given Input Data:

Bottom Width.....	2.00 ft
Left Side Slope..	2.00:1 (H:V)
Right Side Slope.	2.00:1 (H:V)
Manning's n.....	0.035
Channel Slope....	0.0320 ft/ft
Discharge.....	46.00 cfs

Computed Results:

Depth.....	1.42 ft
Velocity.....	6.68 fps
Flow Area.....	6.89 sf
Flow Top Width...	7.69 ft
Wetted Perimeter.	8.36 ft
Critical Depth...	1.58 ft
Critical Slope...	0.0202 ft/ft
Froude Number....	1.24 (flow is Supercritical)

Triangular Channel Analysis & Design
Open Channel - Uniform flow

Worksheet Name: FILLMORE LANDFILL

Comment: SUB-AREA 5: DRAINAGE SWALES D7 ACROSS ROADS

Solve For Depth

Given Input Data:

Left Side Slope..	10.00:1 (H:V)
Right Side Slope.	10.00:1 (H:V)
Manning's n.....	0.035
Channel Slope....	0.0200 ft/ft
Discharge.....	12.00 cfs

Computed Results:

Depth.....	0.65 ft
Velocity.....	2.83 fps
Flow Area.....	4.24 sf
Flow Top Width...	13.02 ft
Wetted Perimeter.	13.08 ft
Critical Depth...	0.62 ft
Critical Slope...	0.0266 ft/ft
Froude Number....	0.87 (flow is Subcritical)